LARS CONTRACT REPORT 020984

On NASA Technicad Report Server

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

FOR

LANDSAT-4 IMAGE DATA QUALITY ANALYSIS

NOVEMBER 10, 1983 - FEBRUARY 9, 1984

NASA CONTRACT NASS-26859

To: NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION

GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

GREENBELT ROAD

GREENBELT, MD 20771

BY: P.E. ANUTA AND STAFF

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

LABORATORY FOR APPLICATIONS OF REMOTE SENSING

WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47906-1399

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some
 of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original
 submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)



QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

FOR

LANDSAT-4 IMAGE DATA QUALITY ANALYSIS

FOR PERIOD INCLUDING NOVEMBER 10, 1983 - FEBRUARY 9, 1984 NASA CONTRACT NASS-26859

(E84-10134) LANDSAT-4 IMAGE CATA QUALITY ANALYSIS Quarterly Progress Report, 10 Nov. 1983 - 9 Feb. 1984 (Purdue Univ.) 4 p HC A02/MF A01 CSCL 05B

N84-26082

Unclas G3/43 00134

To: NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
GREENBELT ROAD
GREENBELT, MD 20771

BY: P.E. ANUTA AND STAFF

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

LABORATORY FOR APPLICATIONS OF REMOTE SENSING

WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47906-1399

Introduction

This report covers research carried out on Landsat-4 data under NASA Contract NAS5-26859 for the period November 10, 1983 through February 9, 1984. The primary activity in this period was completion of analysis items needed for a comprehensive paper discussing the results of the study to date. Work on a layered classifier experiment continued to complete a comparison of Thematic Mapper classification results.

Problems

No data on contractual problems occurred during the period.

Publications

A paper was prepared and submitted to IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, entitled "Landsat-4 MSS and Thematic Mapper Data Quality and Information Content Analysis." It is to be published in the May 1984 issue.

Recommendations

No recommendations are made in this report.

Funds Expended

The funds expended in the project are reported periodically by the Purdue University Office of Contract and Grant Business Affairs to the sponsor on NASA Form 533M. These are issued monthly. Specific disclosure of funds expended in this report is not a policy of the University.

Significant Results

In the previous quarter, comparative evaluation was made of classification performance using point classifiers for TM and MSS data. Additional work has been performed using the contextual classification program 3ECHO and principal components point classification on TM data. The results for the two new cases are listed in the table below along with the results for the three cases listed in the previous report.

Classification Accuracy and CPU Time Comparison on Test Data in the Des Moines Area.

Scene ID: 40049-16264

CLASS	TM GML Per-Point Classifier (All 7 Bands) **Correct	TM GML Per-Point Classifier (Best 4 Bands) %Correct	TM SECHO Classifier (All 7 Bands) **Correct	MSS GML Per-Point Classifier (All 4 Bands) **Correct
Forest	99.0	97.1	100.0	91.2
Corn	92.0	76.8	97.7	30.8
Soybeans	100.0	99.8	100.0	99•3
Bare Soil	99•7	99.0	100.0	55.6
Grass	96.8	87.6	98.1	1.9
Water	100.0	96.8	100.0	98.9
Urban	91.7	99.9	95.8	50.2
OVERALL	95•7	92.6	97.9	67.4

Note that the SECHO results are tetter than any of the other cases. This indicates that the use of spatial in conjunction with spectral data is significant even though there is some blurring of spatial structure with the SECHO algorithm. The principal component result is unexpected as previous experience has shown that the PC result should be better than the result for the same number of features of the original data,. The reason for this is not known and this result is being investigated further.