

LACIE-00469

JSC-13734

LARGE AREA CROP INVENTORY EXPERIMENT (LACIE)

*Made available under NASA sponsorship
in the interest of early and wide dis-
semination of Earth Resources Survey
Program information and without liability
for any use made thereof.*



7.9-10136
CR-158143

NASA NOAA USDA

Crop Spectra from LACIE Field Measurements

(E79-10136)	LARGE AREA CROP INVENTORY	N79-18401
EXPERIMENT (LACIE).	CROP SPECTRA FROM LACIE	
FIELD MEASUREMENTS. (Purdue Univ.)	192 p HC	
A09/MF A01	CSCI 02C	Unclas
		G3/43 00136



National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center
Houston Texas 77058

MARCH 1978

Crop Spectra from LACIE Field Measurements

Prepared By

Marilyn M. Hixson, Marvin E. Bauer, and Larry L. Biehl
Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing
Purdue University
West Lafayette, Indiana

Approved By



Michael C. McEwen, Manager
LACIE Field Measurements



Jon D. Erickson, Chief
Research Test and Evaluation Branch

January 1978

This work was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration,
Johnson Space Center, Contracts NAS9-14970 and NAS9-15466.

FOREWORD

The LACIE Field Measurements project has acquired and assembled one of the most comprehensive data sets for agricultural remote sensing research. The purpose of this document is to briefly describe the data sets and to introduce potential investigators to the spectral data through a series of examples illustrating major sources of variation in the reflectance of wheat and several of its confusion crops.

Requests for further information or data should be addressed to:

Chief, Earth Observations Division

Mail Code SF

NASA - Johnson Space Center

Houston, Texas 77058

1980-1981

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction	1
A. Description of Data	5
B. Introduction to Example Spectra	8
C. References	12
II. Kansas Winter Wheat Examples	13
A. Variation Within Winter Wheat	13
1. Maturity Stage	15
a. Irrigated Winter Wheat	15
b. Dryland Winter Wheat	16
2. Amount of Vegetation	17
3. Soil Background	20
a. Soil Type	20
b. Dry vs. Moist	21
4. Dryland vs. Irrigated	23
5. Wheat Varieties	35
6. Residue Management	45
7. Among Field Variability	49
8. Within Field Variability	53
B. Variation Between Winter Wheat and Other Crops	57
1. Dryland and Irrigated Winter Wheat vs. Alfalfa, Pasture, and Fallow	59
2. Dryland and Irrigated Winter Wheat vs. Alfalfa, Pasture, Fallow, and Corn	62
3. Dryland and Irrigated Winter Wheat vs. Fallow	64
4. Dryland and Irrigated Winter Wheat vs. Fallow, Corn, and Sorghum	68
5. Wheat vs. Small Grains	70
III. North Dakota Spring Wheat Examples	83
A. Variation Within Spring Wheat	83
1. Maturity Stage	85
2. Amount of Vegetation	87
3. Surface Soil Moisture	89
4. Management and Cultural Practices	90
a. Planting Date	90
b. Fallow vs. Recrop	98
c. Variety	105
d. Nitrogen Level	111
5. Among Field Variability	119
6. Within Field Variability	123

	Page
7. Between Years	127
a. For the Same Dates	127
b. For the Same Maturity Stages	132
B. Variation Between Spring Wheat and Other Crops	135
1. Spring Wheat vs. Pasture and Fallow	137
2. Spring Wheat vs. Small Grains	151
IV. South Dakota Spring and Winter Wheat Examples	159
A. Variation Within Spring and Winter Wheat	159
1. Maturity Stage	161
a. Winter Wheat	161
b. Spring Wheat	162
2. Among Field Variability	163
a. Winter Wheat	163
b. Spring Wheat	165
3. Within Field Variability	167
a. Winter Wheat	167
b. Spring Wheat	170
B. Variation Between Wheat and Other Crops	173
1. Spring Wheat, Winter Wheat, Alfalfa, Corn, Fallow, and Grass	175
Appendix: Documentation of Data Examples.	179

I. Introduction

CROP SPECTRA FROM LACIE

FIELD MEASUREMENTS

Major advancements have been made in recent years in the capability to acquire, process, and interpret remotely sensed multispectral measurements of the energy reflected and emitted from crops, soils, and other earth surface features. With the initiation of experiments such as the Large Area Crop Inventory Experiment (LACIE), the technology is moving rapidly toward operational applications (1). There is, however, a continuing need for quantitative studies of the multispectral characteristics of crops and soils if further advancements in the technology are to be made. In the past, many such studies were made in the laboratory because of a lack of instrumentation suitable for field studies. However, the applicability of such studies is generally limited. The development of sensor systems capable of collecting high quality spectral measurements under field conditions has made it possible to pursue investigations which would not have been possible a few years ago.

A major effort was initiated in the fall of 1974 by the NASA/Johnson Space Center, Purdue University/Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture to acquire fully annotated and calibrated multitemporal sets of spectral measurements and supporting agronomic and meteorological data. Spectral, agronomic, and meteorological measurements have been made on LACIE test sites in Kansas and North Dakota for three years and in South Dakota for two years. The remote sensing measurements include data acquired by three truck-mounted spectrometers, a helicopter-borne spectrometer, two air-borne multispectral scanners, and the Landsat-1 and -2 multispectral scanners. These data are supplemented by an extensive set of agronomic and meteorological data acquired during each remote sensing data collection mission. The data collection program is illustrated in Figure 1.

The LACIE Field Measurements data form one of the most complete and best documented data sets ever acquired for remote sensing research. Thus, they are well suited to serve as a data base for research to (1) quantitatively determine the relationship of spectral to agronomic characteristics of crops, (2) define future sensor systems, and (3) develop advanced data analysis techniques. The data base is undoubtedly the largest of its type




Figure 1. Schematic Illustration of LACIE Field Measurements Data Acquisition.

now available for research purposes. It is unparalleled in its comprehensive-ness in terms of sensors and missions over the same sites throughout the growing season. The calibration of all multispectral data to a common standard is unique. Finally, the kind and quantity of supporting agronomic and meteorological data are extensive compared to most remote sensing experiments. The data acquisition was planned and monitored by researchers planning to analyze the data and the data sets are documented for use by multiple investigations.

A. Description of Data

The field measurements test sites are located in Finney County, Kansas; Williams County, North Dakota; and Hand County, South Dakota (Figure 2). The test sites were chosen to represent as wide a range of important wheat production areas as possible, Kansas for winter wheat, North Dakota for spring wheat, and South Dakota for the winter-spring wheat transition area. Each site consists of a LACIE intensive test-site (ITS), 5 x 6 miles in size; and in Kansas and North Dakota, an agricultural experiment station. The crop, soil, and climatic characteristics of each site are described more fully in the Project Plan (2).

This report presents examples of the data from the high spectral resolution spectrometers. These data have been considered as the primary spectral data from the project since they are the most complete and detailed in terms of number of missions, spatial resolution, spectral wavelength coverage and resolution, and signal/noise ratio. Spectral data and associated agronomic and meteorological data acquired by all instruments (Landsat MSS, airborne MSS, high resolution spectrometers, and Landsat-band radiometers) are available from the LACIE Field Measurements data library located at Purdue/LARS. The major characteristics of the spectrometer systems are described briefly here and in Table 1. More complete descriptions of the sensors, as well as descriptions of the agronomic and meteorological data are presented in the Project Plan (2).

The Field Spectrometer System (FSS) is mounted on a helicopter and acquires data over farmers' fields in a series of three flightlines over the LACIE intensive test sites (ITS) in each of the three counties indicated in Figure 2. The FSS is a modified version of the S-191 spectrometer used on Skylab. It acquires data at wavelengths 0.4-2.4 μm and 8-14 μm . These data




Figure 2. Location of LACIE Field Measurements Test Sites.

Table 1. Characteristics of the Spectrometer Systems.

Characteristic	NASA/JSC FSS	Purdue/LARS Exotech 20C & NASA/ERL Exotech 20D	NASA/JSC FSAS
Spectral Range (μm)	0.4-2.5, 6.0-16.0	0.4-2.4, 2.8-13.4	0.4-2.5, 3-14
Spectral Resolution @ 1.0 (μm)	.025	.025	.0064
Scan Time (scan/sec)	1	.033-2.0	10
Field of View (degrees)	22	15 and 3/4	11
Boom Length (m)	-	8,8	13,11
Normal Operational Altitude (m)	60	6	6

provide a measure of the natural variation in the temporal-spectral characteristics of wheat and surrounding cover types.

The truck-mounted spectrometers collected spectra of controlled plots at agricultural experiment stations (AES) near the ITS at Garden City, Kansas, and Williston, North Dakota. The sensors, which acquire data at wavelengths 0.4-2.4 μm , are the Field Signature Acquisition System (FSAS) operated by NASA/JSC, Exotech Model 20C operated by Purdue/LARS, and Exotech Model 20D operated by NASA/ERL. These data combined with the more detailed and quantitative measurements of crop and soil conditions which were made on the AES plots enable analysts to establish the relation of reflectance to such factors as leaf area index and biomass.

The spectral reflectance data are presented in terms of bidirectional reflectance factor which is a physical property of the scene or target, rather than as radiance which is dependent on the irradiance. The data have been calibrated by comparing the response of the instrument viewing the target (field) to its response viewing a level reference standard. These measurements are in turn related to a laboratory standard of pressed barium sulphate having known reflective properties. This approach to calibration provides data for which valid mission-to-mission and sensor-to-sensor comparisons can be made (3).

B. Introduction to Example Spectra

The spectral examples presented illustrate important sources of variability in the multispectral reflectance of wheat and differences in the spectral response between wheat and its major confusion crops.* Some of the factors affecting multispectral reflectance which are included in the examples are: maturity stage, amount of vegetation (biomass, leaf area index, percent ground cover), soil type, surface soil moisture, irrigated vs. dryland, fallow vs. recrop, and nitrogen fertilization.

In addition to indicating the sources of variability, the curves illustrate the manner in which various factors affect spectral response.

* Thermal measurements were collected by the FSS, but are not included in the examples because they cannot be combined and averaged in the same manner as reflective spectra.

The spectral reflectance curves are mean values-- the average of several individual spectra from different locations within a field or test plot, and, in most cases, the average of several fields. With the exception of the graphs illustrating the variability within and among wheat fields, variance information is not presented. The spectral curves, therefore, are intended to provide a general representation of scene variability and it would be inappropriate to use the spectral curves to assess, for example, the discriminability of wheat from other cover types because only first order (mean) statistics are shown. A more sophisticated model involving second order (variance, covariance, and correlation) multivariate statistics should be used for this problem (4). Quantitative analyses of the data using techniques involving second order statistics are currently being conducted by several investigators (3, 5).

Spectra of agricultural cover types presented in this report were selected from a much larger data set (Table 2). During the three years of data collection approximately 100,000 individual spectra over more than 1000 fields or test plots were acquired. There were typically seven to ten missions for each test site during each growing season. Thus, the data selected for this document represent only a small fraction of the total data set. The Data Library Catalog contains information on the location, date, scene type, sensor, and identifying observation number of all data (6).

Investigators are encouraged to obtain copies of the computer-compatible digital tapes for analysis. In addition to the spectral data, the digital tapes include complete information describing the conditions of the mission (e.g., date, time, heading, altitude, solar elevation, and azimuth angles), meteorological measurements, and agronomic observations and measurements of the crop-soil condition. The digital data are supplemented by ground-level photographic views of fields and plots, as well as aerial photography acquired simultaneously with the spectral reflectance measurements.

Table 2. Approximate growth stages of wheat at missions when truck- and helicopter-borne spectrometer data were acquired.

Year	Site/Crop	Mission	Wheat Growth Stage
1974-75 Crop	Finney County, Kansas Winter Wheat	Oct 17-20	Seedling
		Nov 4-7	Tillering
		Nov 23-25	Tillering
		Mar 19-22	Tillering
		Apr 6-9	Jointing
		Apr 24-27	Jointing
		May 13-16	Boot
		May 21-24	Heading
		May 30-Jun 2	Milk
		Jun 8-11	Dough
		Jun 17-20	Ripening
		Jun 25-28	Mature
		Jul 5-8	Post Harvest
		1975 Crop	Williams County, N.D. Spring Wheat
Jun 21-24	Tillering		
Jul 9-12	Boot		
Jul 18-21	Heading		
Jul 27-30	Headed		
Aug 5-8	Milk-dough		
Aug 14-17	Ripening		
Aug 23-27	Mature		
Sep 1-4	Post Harvest		
1975-76 Crop	Finney County, Kansas Winter Wheat	Sep 14-17	Pre-emergence
		Oct 2-6	Seedling
		Oct 20-23	Seedling
		Nov 11-12	Tillering
		Mar 13-19	Tillering
		Mar 30-Apr 2	Tillering
		Apr 18-21	Jointing
		May 4-7	Pre-Boot
		May 14-16	Boot
		May 24-27	Heading
		Jun 11-13	Dough
		Jun 20-21	Ripening
		Jun 29-Jul 2	Mature to Post harvest

Table 2. (continued)

Year	Site/Crop	Mission	Wheat Growth Stage
1976 Crop	Williams County, N.D. Spring Wheat	May 10-14	Emergence
		May 28-30	Seedling
		Jun 15-17	Jointing
		Jun 25-27	Boot
		Jul 4-8	Heading
		Jul 13-17	Dough
		Jul 20-23	Ripening
		Jul 28-31	Mature
		Aug 6-12	Harvest
		Aug 17-20	Post Harvest
1975-76 Crop	Hand County, S.D. Winter Wheat	Oct 15-16	Emergence
		Oct 22-30	Seedling
		Nov 5-6	Tillering
		May 10-16	Stem Extension
		Jun 1-4	Heading
		Jun 19-23	Dough
		Jul 8-10	Harvest
		Jul 31-Aug 4	Post Harvest
1975-76 Crop	Hand County, S.D. Spring Wheat	Oct 15-16	Not planted
		Oct 22-30	Not planted
		Nov 5-6	Not planted
		May 10-16	Tillering
		Jun 1-4	Boot
		Jun 19-23	Headed
		Jul 8-10	Ripening-Ripe
		Jul 31-Aug 4	Post Harvest

C. References


1. MacDonald, R.B. and F.G. Hall. 1977. LACIE: A Look to the Future. Proceedings Eleventh Int'l. Symp. on Remote Sensing of Environment. Ann Arbor, Michigan. April 25-29, 1977..
2. LACIE Field Measurements, Project Plan, 1974-75 and 1975-76; Revised and re-issued 1976-77.
3. Bauer, M.E. et. al. 1977. Agricultural Scene Understanding: LACIE Field Measurements. LARS Contract Report 112677, Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana.
4. D.A. Landgrebe. An Essay on the Discrimination of Crop Spectra. In Crop Spectra Workshop Report, February 1-3, 1977, Sterling, Virginia.
5. Malila, W.A. and J.M. Gleason. 1977. Investigations of Spectral Separability of Small Grains, Early Season Wheat Detection, and Multicrop Inventory Planning. ERIM Report 122700-34-F. Environmental Research Institute of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
6. LACIE Field Measurements, Data Library Catalog: Volume I, 1974-75 Data; Volume II, 1975-76 Data; and Volume III, 1976-77 Data.

II. Kansas Winter Wheat Examples

A. Variation Within Winter Wheat

REFLECTANCE OF IRRIGATED WINTER WHEAT AT DIFFERENT MATURITY STAGES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: 1974-75



* AVERAGE OF 10 FIELDS.

REFLECTANCE OF DRYLAND WINTER WHEAT AT DIFFERENT MATURITY STAGES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: 1975-76



* AVERAGE OF 9 FIELDS.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT PLOTS WITH DIFFERENT GROUND COVERS


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200 DATE: 1975



* AVERAGES OF 7, 10, 10, AND 10 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT PLOTS WITH DIFFERENT GROUND COVERS


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSAS DATE: 1976



-* AVERAGES OF 8, 9, 10, AND 1 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT PLOTS WITH DIFFERENT LEAF AREAS


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSAS DATE: 1976



* AVERAGES OF 7, 7, 7, 7, AND 2 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WHEAT FIELDS WITH DIFFERENT SOIL TYPES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS. DATE: OCTOBER 21, 1975 .



* AVERAGES OF 6, 2, AND 1 FIELD, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WHEAT FIELDS WITH DIFFERENT SOIL MOISTURE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: OCTOBER 21, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2 FIELDS.

REFLECTANCE OF WHEAT FIELDS WITH DIFFERENT SOIL MOISTURE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: .FSS DATE: MARCH 18, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 2 FIELDS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: NOVEMBER 5, 1974



* AVERAGES OF 10 AND 2 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: APRIL 8, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 10 AND 2 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 14, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 10 AND 2 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 9, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 10 AND 2 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 17, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 10 AND 2 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 26, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 10 AND 2 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: OCTOBER 21, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 9 AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MARCH 18, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9 AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: APRIL 18, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9 AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 6, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9 AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 12, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9 AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO IRRIGATION PRACTICES

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 30, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 9 AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200

DATE: APRIL 16, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 3 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200


DATE: APRIL 29, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 3 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200 DATE: MAY 20, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 3, 2, AND 3 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200


DATE: JUNE 4, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2, 3, AND 3 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200 DATE: JULY 4, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2, 3, AND 3 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.


REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSAS DATE: APRIL 1, 1976




REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSAS DATE: MAY 1, 1976



REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSAS DATE: MAY 17, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 2, 2, 1, AND 2 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.


REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSAS DATE: MAY 29, 1976



REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF WINTER WHEAT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSAS DATE: JUNE 10, 1976




*AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO RESIDUE MANAGEMENT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200

DATE: APRIL 17, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO RESIDUE MANAGEMENT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200


DATE: APRIL 30, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO RESIDUE MANAGEMENT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200 DATE: MAY 26, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT DUE TO RESIDUE MANAGEMENT

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS


SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200 DATE: JUNE 11, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.


VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG WINTER WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: APRIL 8, 1975




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG WINTER WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 17, 1975




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG WINTER WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MARCH 31, 1976




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG WINTER WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 12, 1976




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: APRIL 8, 1975
FIELD: .203




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 17, 1975
FIELD: 203




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MARCH 31, 1976
FIELD 149



VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 12, 1976
FIELD 149




II. Kansas Winter Wheat Examples

B. Variation Between Winter Wheat and
Other Crops

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: NOVEMBER 5, 1974



* AVERAGES OF 2, 10, 3, 1, AND 2 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: APRIL 8, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2, 10, 3, 1, AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 14, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2, 10, 3, 1, AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 17, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2, 10, 3, 1, 3, AND 10 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 26, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2, 10, 3, 1, 3, AND 10 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: OCTOBER 21, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 9, 3, AND 10 FIELDS RESPECTIVELY FOR 0.4, 1.7, AND 2.2 MICROMETER BANDS *

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MARCH 18, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 3, AND 10 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: APRIL 18, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 3, AND 10 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 6, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 3, AND 10 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 12, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 3, 10, 3, AND 7 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE

LOCATION: FINNEY COUNTY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 30, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 9, 3, 10, 3, AND 7 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20D

DATE: APRIL 9, 1975




REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200

DATE: APRIL 23, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200


DATE: APRIL 28, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200 DATE: MAY 15, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 2, 2, 1, 2, AND 2 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200


DATE: JUNE 3, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2, 2, 1, 2, AND 2 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20D DATE: JUNE 15, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 2, 2, 1, 1, AND 2 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200


DATE: JUNE 29, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 200 DATE: JULY 4, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSAS DATE: APRIL 1, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 3, 1, 1, AND 1 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSAS DATE: MAY 1, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 4, 1, 1, AND 1 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSAS DATE: MAY 17, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 7, 1, 2, AND 2 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS


LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
SENSOR: FSAS DATE: MAY 29, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 4, 1, 1, AND 1 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: GARDEN CITY, KANSAS
 SENSOR: FSAS DATE: JUNE 10, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 4, 1, 1, AND 1 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.


III. North Dakota Spring Wheat Examples

A. Variation Within Spring Wheat

PRECEDING PAGE ... 1919

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT DIFFERENT MATURITY STAGES

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: 1975




84
 PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

* AVERAGES OF 8, 9, 9, 5, AND 9 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT DIFFERENT MATURITY STAGES


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: 1976



* AVERAGE OF 9 FIELDS.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT PLOTS WITH DIFFERENT LEAF AREAS

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: 1975




*AVERAGES OF 7, 7, 7, 7, AND 4 PLOTS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT PLOTS WITH DIFFERENT LEAF AREAS

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: 1976


LEAF AREA INDEX	COVER (%)	DRY BIOMASS (GM/SQ METER)	HEIGHT (CM)
* 0.0-0.49	9	10.7	16
0.5-0.99	28	46.1	25
1.0-1.49	39	74.8	29
1.5-1.99	46	132.2	38
2.0-2.49	57	208.1	47



*AVERAGES OF 7 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AS SOIL DRIES AFTER A RAIN


LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JUNE 3-4, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 2 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO PLANTING DATE


LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JUNE 7, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 4 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO PLANTING DATE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JULY 10, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT. DUE TO PLANTING DATE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C

DATE: AUGUST 12, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 8 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO PLANTING DATE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JUNE 4, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO PLANTING DATE


LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JUNE 18, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO PLANTING DATE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JULY 8, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 8 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO PLANTING DATE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JULY 16, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO PLANTING DATE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: AUGUST 6, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

C-2

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO SOIL MOISTURE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JULY 10, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO SOIL MOISTURE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C

DATE: AUGUST 12, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 8 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO SOIL MOISTURE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JUNE 4, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO SOIL MOISTURE


LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JUNE 18, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO SOIL MOISTURE


LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C
DATE: JULY 8, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 8 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO SOIL MOISTURE


LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JULY 16, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO SOIL MOISTURE

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: AUGUST 6, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C

DATE: AUGUST 12, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 8 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C

DATE: JUNE 4, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JUNE 18, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JULY 8, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 8 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C

DATE: JULY 16, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SEVERAL VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: AUGUST 6, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO NITROGEN LEVEL

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JUNE 7, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 4 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO NITROGEN LEVEL

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JULY 10, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO NITROGEN LEVEL

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: AUGUST 12, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 8 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO NITROGEN LEVEL

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JUNE 4, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO NITROGEN LEVEL

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JUNE 18, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO NITROGEN LEVEL

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JULY 8, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 8 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO NITROGEN LEVEL


LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C
DATE: JULY 16, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT DUE TO NITROGEN LEVEL


LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: AUGUST 6, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 16 PLOTS.


VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG SPRING WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 22, 1975




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG SPRING WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 27, 1975




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG SPRING WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 17, 1976




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG SPRING WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 28, 1976




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A SPRING WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 22, 1975
FIELD: 846




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A SPRING WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 27, 1975
FIELD: , 846




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A SPRING WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 17, 1976
FIELD: 334




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A SPRING WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 28, 1976
FIELD: 334



REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT THE SAME DATE IN TWO YEARS


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS



* AVERAGES OF 8 AND 9 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT THE SAME DATE IN TWO YEARS


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS



* AVERAGES OF 9 FIELDS.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT THE SAME DATE IN TWO YEARS


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS



* AVERAGES OF 10 AND 9 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT THE SAME DATE IN TWO YEARS


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS



* AVERAGES OF 10 AND 9 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT THE SAME DATE IN TWO YEARS


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS



* AVERAGES OF 7 AND 9 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT THE SAME MATURITY STAGE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS MATURITY STAGE: EMERGENCE



* AVERAGES OF 8 AND 9 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT THE SAME MATURITY STAGE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS MATURITY STAGE: HEADED



* AVERAGES OF 4 AND 8 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT THE SAME MATURITY STAGE

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS MATURITY STAGE: RIPENING



* AVERAGES OF 7 AND 9 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.


III. North Dakota Spring Wheat Examples

B. Variation Between Spring Wheat
and Other Crops

PRECEDENCE IN ... 1930

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 5, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 8, 9, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 22, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 9, 8, AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY:

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 10, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 10, 8, AND 3 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 18, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 9, 4, AND 4 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 27, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 8, 6, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: AUGUST 23, 1975



* AVERAGES OF 9, 8, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 13, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 10, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 28, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 10, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY: *

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 25, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 10, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 6, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 10, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 20, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 10, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 28, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 10, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: AUGUST 9, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 9, 10, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE

LOCATION: WILLIAMS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: AUGUST 19, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 9, 10, AND 6 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C

DATE: JULY 10, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 4 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C

DATE: AUGUST 12, 1975




* AVERAGES OF 3 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA.

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JUNE 4, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 4 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: WILLISTON; NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JUNE 18, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 4 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C


DATE: JULY 8, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 3 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS.

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C DATE: JULY 16, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 3 PLOTS.

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AND OTHER SMALL GRAINS

LOCATION: WILLISTON, NORTH DAKOTA

SENSOR: EXOTECH MODEL 20C

DATE: AUGUST 6, 1976




* AVERAGES OF 4 PLOTS.

IV. South Dakota Spring and Winter Wheat Examples

A. Variation Within Spring and Winter Wheat

REFLECTANCE OF WINTER WHEAT AT DIFFERENT MATURITY STAGES

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: 1975-76



ORIGINAL PAGE IS
 OF POOR QUALITY.

~~THIS PAGE BEARS NO RELATION~~


* AVERAGE OF 10 FIELDS.

161

159, 160 13
 PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK
 PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

REFLECTANCE OF SPRING WHEAT AT DIFFERENT MATURITY STAGES


LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: 1976



* AVERAGE OF 4 FIELDS.


VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG WINTER WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 11, 1976




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG WINTER WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 19, 1976




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG SPRING WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 19, 1976




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE AMONG SPRING WHEAT FIELDS

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 8, 1976




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 11, 1976
FIELD: 176




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 19, 1976
FIELD: 176




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 8, 1976
FIELD: 176




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A SPRING WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 11, 1976
FIELD: 284




VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A SPRING WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 19, 1976
FIELD: 284



VARIABILITY IN REFLECTANCE WITHIN A SPRING WHEAT FIELD

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 8, 1976
FIELD: 284




IV. South Dakota Spring and Winter
Wheat Examples

B. Variation Between Wheat and Other Crops

PRECEDING PAGE SHALL NOT BE USED

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: MAY 11, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 10, 4, 2, 5, 2, AND 4 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: JUNE 19, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 10, 4, 2, 5, 2, AND 4 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE


LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 8, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 10, 4, 2, 5, 2, AND 4 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

DIFFERENCE IN REFLECTANCE DUE TO COVER TYPE

LOCATION: HAND COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
 SENSOR: FSS DATE: JULY 31, 1976



* AVERAGES OF 10, 4, 2, 5, 2, AND 4 FIELDS, RESPECTIVELY.

Appendix: Documentation of Data Examples

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK PAGE

Appendix : Documentation of Data Examples.

Field averages were used for all graphs of FSS data except for those illustrating within-field variability.

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
15	Kansas ITS ¹	Maturity Stage(75)	11/5/74	369,391,178,190,192,203, 216,59,67
			4/8/75	369,391,178,190,192,203, 216,59,67
			5/14/75	369,391,178,190,192,203, 216,59,67
			6/9/75	369,391,178,190,192,203, 216,59,67
			6/17/75	369,391,178,190,192,203, 216,59,67
			6/26/75	369,391,178,190,192,203, 216,59,67
16		Maturity Stage(76)	10/21/75	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42
			3/18/76	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42
			4/18/76	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42
			5/6/76	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42
			6/12/76	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42
			6/30/76	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42
17	Kansas AES	Amt. Vegetation(75)	0-25% GC	on 3/30:107 on 3/31:113,114 on 4/3:203,204,205,206

181
PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK
180

¹ 1975 Kansas data are from ITS-1960; 1976 Kansas data are from ITS-1988.

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
17		Amt. Vegetation(75) (cont.)	26-50% GC	on 3/30:101 on 3/31:119,120,201 on 4/3:202,313 on 4/9:113,114 on 4/16:318 on 4/23:114
			51-75% GC	on 4/9:119 on 4/16:303,307,316 on 4/23:114 on 4/28:113,206 on 4/29:312 on 5/6:215 on 5/16:205
			76-100% GC	on 4/29:302,303 on 4/30:416 on 5/3:408,413 on 5/14:301 on 5/15:108 on 5/19:102 on 6/3:205 on 6/4:309
18		Amt. Vegetation(76)	0-25% GC	on 4/1:4,5,6,26,27 on 4/18:5,6 on 5/1:4
			26-50% GC	on 4/1:7,9,12,28 on 4/16:22 on 5/1:5 on 5/14:4,6,24
			51-75% GC	on 4/1:30 on 4/18:17,29 on 5/1:7,12,14 on 5/14:23,27 on 5/29:5,8
19		Amt. Vegetation(76)	76-100% GC	on 5/14:25
			0-.50 LAI	on 4/1:5,6,7,8,29,30 on 4/18:6

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
19		Amt. Vegetation(76) (cont.)	.51-1.0 LAI 1.01-1.5 LAI 1.51-2.0 LAI 2.0 → LAI	on 4/1:9,13 on 4/16:22 on 4/18:8,16,28 on 5/1:7 on 4/18:17 on 5/1:3 on 5/14:7,22,25,28,29 on 4/17:19 on 5/1:13,14 on 5/14:26,27 on 5/29:28,30 on 5/14:23,30
20	Kansas ITS	Soil Types (10/21/75)	Richfield Silt Loam Richfield-Spearville Complex Ulysses Silt Loam	214,171,42,25,99,173 54,58 185
21		Soil Moisture(10/21/75)	Damp Dry	200,214 141,25
22		Soil Moisture(3/18/76)	Damp Dry	214,141 200,25
24-29		Irrigation(75)	Irrigated Dryland	369,391,178,190,192,197, 203,216,59,67 53,56
30-34		Irrigation(76)	Dryland Irrigated	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42 200,214,141
35,36	Kansas AES	Varieties(4/16,29/75)	Centurk Sage Sturdy	* 303,304,309,310,317,318 301,302,311,312,315,316 305,306,307,308,313,314

* Experiment station plot numbers are as shown on maps in the Project Plan

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
37	Kansas AES	Varieties(5/20/75)	Centurk Sage Sturdy	303,309,317 311,315 305,307,313
38,39		Varieties(6/4,7/4/75)	Centurk Sage Sturdy	303,309 301,311,315 305,307,313
40,41		Varieties(4,5/1/76)	Eagle Satanta Scout Centurk	9 12 13 14
42		Varieties(5/17/76)	Eagle Satanta Scout Centurk	9,19 12,17 13 14,16
43		Varieties(5/29/76)	Eagle Satanta Scout Centurk	9 12 13 14
44		Varieties(6/10/76)	Eagle Satanta Scout Centurk	9,19 12,17 13,22 14,16
45		Residue(4/17/75)	Removal Shredding Double Residue Burning	401,402,403,404 405,406,407,408 409,410,411,412 413,414,415,416
46		Residue(4/30/75)	Removal Shredding Double Residue Burning	401,402,403,404 405,406,407,408 409,410,411,412 413,414,415,416

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
47	Kansas AES	Residue(5/26/75)	Removal Shredding Double Residue Burning	401,402,403,404 405,406,407,408 409,410,411,412 414,415,416
48		Residue(6/11/75)	Removal Shredding Double Residue Burning	401,402,403,404 405,406,407,408 409,410,411,412 413,414,415,416
49,50	Kansas ITS	Among Fields(75)	Field 369 Field 178 Field 192 Field 203 Field 59	369 178 192 203 59
51,52		Among Fields(76)	Field 221 Field 124 Field 149 Field 107 Field 42	221 124 149 107 42
53		Within Fields (4/8/75)	Scan 1 Scan 2 Scan 3 Scan 4 Scan 5	(75533403) (75533405) (75533406) (75533407) (75533409)
54		Within Fields (6/17/75)	Scan 1 Scan 2 Scan 3 Scan 4 Scan 5	(75625503) (75625505) (75625506) (75625507) (75625509)

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
55	Kansas ITS	Within Fields(3/31/76)	Scan 1 Scan 2 Scan 3 Scan 4 Scan 5	(76759904) (76759909) (76759914) (76759919) (76759924)
56		Within Fields(6/12/76)	Scan 1 Scan 2 Scan 3 Scan 4 Scan 5	(76785404) (76785406) (76785408) (76785410) (76785412)
59		Wheat,Other(11/5/74)	Dryland Winter Wheat Irrigated Winter Wheat Alfalfa Pasture Fallow	53,56 369,391,178,190,192,197, 203,216,59,67 69,193,387 186 61,80
60,61		Wheat,Other(4/8,5/14/75)	Dryland Winter Wheat Irrigated Winter Wheat Alfalfa Pasture Fallow	53,56 369,391,178,190,192,197, 203,216,59,67 69,193,387 186 61,80,374
62-63		Wheat,Other(6/17,6/26/75)	Dryland Winter Wheat Irrigated Winter Wheat Alfalfa Pasture Fallow Corn	53,56 369,391,178,190,192,197, 203,216,59,67 69,193,387 186 61,80,374 49,52,65,68,191,194,212, 371,541,543
64-67		Wheat,Other(10/21/75,3/18, 4/18,5/6/76)	Dryland Winter Wheat Irrigated Winter Wheat Fallow	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42 200,214,141 187,191,186,129,148,94, 112,50,38,33

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
68,69		Wheat,Other(6/12,6/30/76)	Dryland Winter Wheat	221,167,124,137,149,113, 107,99,42
			Irrigated Winter Wheat	200,214,141
			Fallow	187,191,186,129,148,94, 112,50,38,33
			Corn	183,176,174
			Sorghum	181,179,169,165,152,27,26
70	Kansas AES	Wheat,Small Grains(4/9/75)	Early Wheat	119,120
			Rye	117,118
			Barley	115,116
			Late Wheat	113,114
			Triticale	111,112
71		Wheat,Small Grains(4/23/75)	Early Wheat	101,102,119
			Rye	103,104,117,118
			Barley	105,106,115,116
			Late Wheat	107,108,113,114
			Triticale	109,110,111,112
72		Wheat,Small Grains(4/28/75)	Early Wheat	101,102,119,120
			Rye	103,104,118
			Barley	105,106,115,116
			Late Wheat	107,108,113,114
			Triticale	109,110,111,112
73		Wheat,Small Grains(5/15/75)	Early Wheat	102,120
			Rye	104,118
			Barley	106
			Late Wheat	108,114
			Triticale	110,112
74		Wheat,Small Grains(6/3/75)	Early Wheat	102,120
			Rye	104,118
			Barley	106
			Late Wheat	108,114
			Triticale	110,112

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
75	Kansas AES	*Wheat, Small Grains(6/15/75)	Early Wheat	102,120
			Rye	104,118
			Barley	106
			Late Wheat	108
			Triticale	110,112
76		*Wheat, Small Grains(6/29/75)	Early Wheat	102,120
			Rye	104,118
			Barley	106
			Late Wheat	108,114
			Triticale	110,112
77		*Wheat, Small Grains(7/4/75)	Early Wheat	102,120
			Rye	104,118
			Barley	106
			Late Wheat	108,114
			Triticale	110,112
78		Wheat, Small Grains(4/1/76)	Wheat	9,13,14
			Rye	10
			Barley	11
			Triticale	15
79		Wheat Small Grains(5/1/76)	Wheat	9,12,13,14
			Rye	10
			Barley	11
			Triticale	15
80		Wheat, Small Grains(5/17/76)	Wheat	9,12,13,14,16,17,19
			Rye	10
			Barley	11,18
			Triticale	15,20
81		Wheat, Small Grains(5/29/76)	Wheat	9,12,13,14
			Rye	10
			Barley	11
			Triticale	15
82		Wheat, Small Grains(6/10/76)	Wheat	9,12,13,14
			Rye	10
			Barley	11
			Triticale	15

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
85	N. Dakota ITS ²	Maturity Stage	6/5/75	226,227,234,235,800,812, 834,846
			6/22/75	226,227,234,235,812,834, 846,441,442
			7/10/75	226,227,234,235,800,812, 834,441,442
			7/27/75	226,234,800,441,442
			8/23/75	226,227,234,235,800,812, 846,441,442
			86	Maturity Stage
		6/17/76	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484	
		6/25/76	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484	
		7/6/75	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484	
		7/28/76	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484	
		8/9/76	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484	
87	N. Dakota AES	Amt. Vegetation(75)	0-.49 LAI	on 6/23:39,40,41,42,49,50,52
			.5-.99 LAI	on 6/23:38,51,53
				on 7/9:38
			1.0-1.49 LAI	on 7/10:6,38,42
				on 7/9:37,39
		1.5-1.99 LAI	on 7/10:5,11,12,41,52	
			on 7/10:19,23,49,54,56	
			on 7/19:42,49	
		2.0 → LAI	on 7/10:26,53	
88	N. Dakota AES	Amt. Vegetation(76)	0-.49 LAI	on 7/19:35,41
				on 5/28:6,9,19
				on 6/2:7,41
			on 6/4:8,19	

² 1975 and 1976 North Dakota data are from ITS-1966.

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
88	N. Dakota AES	Amt. Vegetation(76) (cont.)	.5-.99 LAI 1.0-1.49 LAI 1.5-1.99 LAI 2.0 LAI	on 6/2:36,38 on 6/4:6,7 on 6/18:11,50,56 on 6/18:19,20,21,23,40,42,54 on 6/18:6,9,24,25,26,39,41 on 6/18:5,7,8,35,36,37,38
89		Surface Soil Moisture	Wet Moist Dry	on 6/3(early):16,17 on 6/3(late):16,17 on 6/4:16,17
90		Planting Date(6/7/75)	Early Late	53,54,55,56 49,50,51,52
91		Planting Date(7/10/75)	Early Late	5,6,7,8,23,24,25,26,35,36, 37,38,53,54,55,56 9,10,11,12,19,20,21,22,39, 40,41,42,49,50,51,52
92		Planting Date(8/12/75) Planting Date(6/4,6/18, 7/16,8/6/76)	Early Late Early Late	5,6,7,8,35,36,37,38 9,10,11,12,39,40,41,42 5,6,7,8,23,24,25,26,35,36, 37,38,53,54,55,56 9,10,11,12,19,20,21,22,39, 40,41,42,49,50,51,52
93,94,96,97		Planting Date(7/8/76)	Early Late	5,6,7,8,23,24,25,26 9,10,11,12,19,20,21,22
98		Fallow/Recrop(7/10/75)	Wheat(74) Fallow(74)	5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,35,36, 37,38,39,40,41,42 19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26, 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56
99		Fallow/Recrop(8/12/75)	Wheat(74) Fallow(74)	35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56
100,101,103,104		Fallow/Recrop(6/4,6/18, 7/16,8/6/76)	Fallow(75) Wheat(75)	5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,35,36, 37,38,39,40,41,42 19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26, 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
102	N. Dakota AES	Fallow/Recrop(7/8/76)	Fallow(75) Wheat(75)	5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12 19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26
105		Variety(8/12/75)	Ellar Olaf	36,38,40,42,50,52,54,56 35,37,39,41,49,51,53,55
106,107,109,110		Variety(6/4,6/18,7/16, 8/6/76)	Waldron Olaf	6,8,10,12,20,22,24,26,36, 38,40,42,50,52,54,56 5,7,9,11,19,21,23,25,35, 37,39,41,49,51,53,55
108		Variety(7/8/76)	Waldron Olaf	6,8,10,12,20,22,24,26 5,7,9,11,19,21,23,25
111		Nitrogen(6/7/75)	No Nitrogen 30 lbs/acre	49,50,55,56 51,52,53,54
112		Nitrogen(7/10/75)	No Nitrogen 30 lbs/acre	5,6,11,12,21,22,23,24,37, 38,39,40,49,50,55,56 7,8,9,10,19,20,25,26,35, 36,41,42,51,52,53,54
113		Nitrogen(8/12/75)	No Nitrogen 30 lbs/acre	37,38,39,40,49,50,55,56 35,36,41,42,51,52,53,54
114,115,117,118		Nitrogen(6/4,6/18,7/16, 8/6/76)	No Nitrogen 30 lbs/acre	5,6,11,12,21,22,23,24,37, 38,39,40,49,50,55,56 7,8,9,10,19,20,25,26,35, 36,41,42,51,52,53,54
116		Nitrogen(7/8/76)	No Nitrogen 30 lbs/acre	5,6,11,12,21,22,23,24 7,8,9,10,19,20,25,26
119,120	N. Dakota ITS	Among Fields(75)	Field 226 Field 234 Field 812 Field 846 Field 442	226 234 812 846 442
121,122		Among Fields(76)	Field 334 Field 343 Field 425 Field 458 Field 484	334 343 425 458 484

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
123	N. Dakota ITS	Within Fields(6/22/75)	Scan 1	(75804103)
			Scan 2	(75804105)
			Scan 3	(75804107)
			Scan 4	(75806704)
			Scan 5	(75806707)
124		Within Fields(7/27/75)	Scan 1	(75937603)
			Scan 2	(75937606)
			Scan 3	(75937609)
			Scan 4	(75940103)
			Scan 5	(75940107)
125		Within Fields(6/17/76)	Scan 1	(76824703)
			Scan 2	(76824707)
			Scan 3	(76824711)
			Scan 4	(76824717)
			Scan 5	(76824722)
126		Within Fields(7/28/76)	Scan 1	(76960704)
			Scan 2	(76960709)
			Scan 3	(76960714)
			Scan 4	(76960719)
			Scan 5	(76960724)
127		Between Years (Same Date)	June 22, 1975	226, 227, 234, 235, 812, 834, 846, 441, 442
			June 25, 1976	288, 334, 343, 423, 425, 441, 458, 478, 484
128		Between Years (Same Date)	July 10, 1975	226, 227, 234, 235, 800, 812, 834, 441, 442
			July 6, 1976	288, 334, 343, 423, 425, 441, 458, 478, 484
129		Between Years (Same Date)	July 18, 1975	226, 227, 234, 235, 800, 812, 834, 846, 441, 442
			July 20, 1976	288, 334, 343, 423, 425, 441, 458, 478, 484
130		Between Years (Same Date)	July 27, 1975	226, 234, 800, 441, 442
			July 28, 1976	288, 334, 343, 423, 425, 441, 458, 478, 484
131		Between Years (Same Date)	August 15, 1975	226, 227, 234, 235, 800, 812, 846, 441, 442

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
131	N. Dakota ITS	Between Years (Same Date) (cont.)	August 19, 1976	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484
132		Between Years (Same Maturity)	June 5, 1975 May 28, 1976	226,227,234,235,800,812, 834,846 288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484
133		Between Years (Same Maturity)	July 18, 1975	226,227,234,235,800,812, 834,846,441,442
			July 6, 1976	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484
134		Between Years (Same Maturity)	August 15, 1975	226,227,234,235,800,812, 846,441,442
			July 20, 1976	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484
137		Wheat, Other (6/5/75)	Wheat	226,227,234,235,800,812, 834,846
			Fallow	444,446,674,676,799,810, 813,843,863
			Pasture	415,628,632,681,736,766
138		Wheat, Other (6/22/75)	Wheat	226,227,234,235,441,442, 812,834,846
			Pasture	444,446,837,799,810,813, 843,863
			Fallow	415,736,766
139		Wheat, Other (7/10/75)	Wheat	226,227,234,235,441,442, 800,812,834,846
			Fallow	444,446,799,810,813,863,837
			Pasture	415,736,766
140		Wheat, Other (7/18/75)	Wheat	226,227,234,235,441,442, 812,834,800
			Fallow	837,813,799,676
			Pasture	736,628,632,687
141		Wheat, Other (7/27/75)	Wheat	226,234,441,442,800,812, 834,846
			Fallow	799,810,863,837,674,843
			Pasture	415,736,766,628,632,681

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
142	N. Dakota ITS	Wheat,Other(8/23/75)	Wheat	226,227,234,235,441,442, 800,812,846
			Fallow	799,444,863,446,674,843, 676,813
143-150		Wheat,Other(76)	Pasture	415,736,766,628,632,681
			Wheat	288,334,343,423,425,441, 458,478,484
			Fallow	138,152,163,165,166,169, 329,424,426,435
			Pasture	161,170,248,338,356,385
151	N. Dakota AES	Wheat,Small Grains(7/10/75)	Kelsey Oats	1,31,36,60
			Vanguard Barley	3,29,33,59
			Lark Wheat	4,27,34,57
152		Wheat,Small Grains(8/12/75)	Kelsey Oats	1,31,60
			Vanguard Barley	3,33,59
			Lark Wheat	4,34,58
153,154,157		Wheat,Small Grains(6/4, 6/18,8/6/76)	Kelsey Oats	1,30,31,60
			Hector Barley	2,29,32,59
			Lark Wheat	4,27,34,57
155		Wheat,Small Grains(7/8/76)	Kelsey Oats	1,30,31
			Hector Barley	2,29,32
			Lark Wheat	4,27,34
156		Wheat,Small Grains(7/16/76)	Kelsey Oats	1,30,35
			Hector Barley	2,29,36
			Lark Wheat	4,27,38

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
161	S. Dakota ITS ³	Maturity Stage (WW)	10/15/75 11/5/75 5/11/76 6/19/76 7/8/76 7/31/76	176,208,210,196,212,198, 175,172,182,128 176,208,210,196,212,198, 175,172,182,128 176,208,210,196,212,198, 175,172,182,128 176,208,210,196,212,198, 175,172,182,128 176,208,210,196,212,198, 175,172,182,128
162		Maturity Stage(SW)	5/11/76 6/19/76 7/8/76 7/31/76	284,197,209,132 284,197,209,132 284,197,209,132 284,197,209,132
163		Between Fields(WW,5/11/76)	Field 176 Field 208 Field 210 Field 128 Field 175	176 208 210 128 175
164		Between Fields(WW,6/19/76)	Field 176 Field 208 Field 210 Field 128 Field 175	176 208 210 128 175
165		Between Fields(SW,6/19/76)	Field 284 Field 197 Field 209 Field 132	284 197 209 132
166		Between Fields(SW,7/8/76)	Field 284 Field 197 Field 209 Field 132	284 197 209 132

³ South Dakota data are from ITS - 1687.

<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Plot (Date)</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Field (or Run) Numbers</u>
167	S. Dakota ITS	Within Fields(WW,5/11/76)	Scan 1	(76833003)
			Scan 2	(76833005)
			Scan 3	(76833007)
			Scan 4	(76833009)
			Scan 5	(76833011)
168		Within Fields(WW,6/19/76)	Scan 1	(76848203)
			Scan 2	(76848206)
			Scan 3	(76848209)
			Scan 4	(76848212)
			Scan 5	(76848215)
169		Within Fields(WW,7/8/76)	Scan 1	(76943603)
			Scan 2	(76943606)
			Scan 3	(76943609)
			Scan 4	(76943612)
			Scan 5	(76943616)
170		Within Fields(SW,5/11/76)	Scan 1	(76832903)
			Scan 2	(76832906)
			Scan 3	(76832909)
			Scan 4	(76832913)
			Scan 5	(76832916)
171		Within Fields(SW,6/19/76)	Scan 1	(76848104)
			Scan 2	(76848107)
			Scan 3	(76848110)
			Scan 4	(76848118)
			Scan 5	(76848124)
172		Within Fields(SW,7/8/76)	Scan 1	(76943503)
			Scan 2	(76943507)
			Scan 3	(76943511)
			Scan 4	(76943515)
			Scan 5	(76943521)
175-178		Wheat,Other(76)	Winter Wheat	176,208,210,196,212,198, 175,172,182,128
			Spring Wheat	284,197,209,132
			Corn	136,232
			Fallow	177,283,207,223,197
			Alfalfa	171,174
			Grasses	235,221,211,131