LARS Information Note 110176

DIGITAL COMPUTER TERRAIN MAPPING FROM MULTISPECTRAL DATA, AND EVALUATION OF PROPOSED EARTH RESOURCES TECHNOLOGY SATELLITE (ERTS) DATA CHANNELS, YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK: PRELIMINARY REPORT

HARRY W. SMEDES KENNETH L. PIERCE MARC G. TANGUAY ROGER M. HOFFER

The Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana

1976

Published in the Proceedings of the Second Annual Earth Resources Program Status Review, NASA Manned Spacecraft Center, Houston, Texas. September 16 - 18, 1969.



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

ORIGINAL CONTAINS GOLOR ILLUCTUATIONS

SECOND ANNUAL

EARTH RESOURCES AIRCRAFT PROGRAM

STATUS REVIEW

COLOR ILLUSTRATIONS REPRODUCED IN BLACK AND WHITE

VOLUME I

GEOLOGY AND GEOGRAPHY

Presented at the

NASA Manned Spacecraft Center Houston, Texas



Springfield, Va

22151

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

FOREWORD

On September 16, 17, and 18, a review of various aspects of the Earth Resources Program was held at the Manned Spacecraft Center, Houston, Texas. Particular emphasis was placed on the results of analysis of data obtained with the Manned Spacecraft Center and other aircraft which have contributed data to the program

The review was arranged in conjunction with the Department of Interior, Department of Agriculture and the Department of the Navy Attendees and participants at the meeting included program investigators, their immediate associates, and program representatives from the above named agencies and ESSA and NASA

The review was divided into the disciplinary areas of Geology, Geography, Hydrology, Agriculture and Forestry, and Oceanography An additional session was held on instrumentation. Program investigators presented the results of their work in each of these areas The material presented is being published in three volumes:

- Vol I GEOLOGY AND GEOGRAPHY
- Vol II AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, AND SENSOR STUDIES
- Vol III HYDROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY

The review provided a current assessment of the program for both management and technical personnel It is important to note that the material presented represented the current status on ongoing programs and consequently complete technical analyses will be available at a later date

SECTION 3

DIGITAL COMPUTER TERRAIN MAPPING FROM MULTISPECTRAL DATA, AND EVALUATION OF PROPOSED EARTH RESOURCES TECHNOLOGY SATELLITE (ERTS) DATA CHANNELS, YELLOWSTONE NATIQNAL GARK. PRELIMINARY REPORT*

by

Harry W. Smedes, Kenneth L. Pierce, Marc G Tanguay, and Roger M Hoffer

N71-19254

ABSTRACT

Digital computer processing of 12 wavelength bands of visible and reflective infrared scanner data has resulted in successful automatic computer mapping of eight terrain units in a Yellowstone National Park test site

Target areas in the scene were selected for training the computer. Statistical parameters of radiance such as mean, standard deviation, divergence, and covariance were computed for each category of material. These data were used in the computer program to determine which channels are most useful for recognition of all object categories studied, and to actually classify all the unknown data points into the known categories.

The following terrain types have been mapped with greater than 80 percent accuracy in a 12-square-mile area with 1,800 feet of relief: bedrock exposures, talus, vegetated rock rubble, glacial kame terrace, glacial till, forest, bog, and water, and shadows. In addition, shadows of clouds and cliffs are depicted

In addition, studies were made of the effectiveness of the proposed Earth Resources Technology Satellite (ERTS) data channels as compared to the computer-selected best four channels in the automatic recognition and mapping of the same terrain types based on simulations, using the same set of data.

These simulations resulted in maps whose accuracies were only a few percent less than that using the best set of four channels, they indicate that the ERTS data channels are likely to be successful for terrain analysis of a wide variety of categories encompassing a broad range of spectral reflectance

These studies also indicate that, for a broad range of terrain categories, many combinations of 3 or 4 channels of data would be satisfactory. We need worry about careful selection of specific wavelength bands only if there is a specific category being sought.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This report summarizes the preliminary results and current status of studies of digital computer processing of airborne multispectral data, the success of automatic recognition and mapping of the distribution of eight different terrain types, and the effectiveness of the proposed Earth Resources Technology Satellite (ERTS) data channels as compared to the computer-selected best four channels in the automatic recognition and mapping of the same terrain types based on simulations, using the same set of data.

This study involves the data from one flight over a test area of about 12 square miles in a region of moderate relief (1,800 feet) comprising a wide variety of terrain types.

The data were acquired and processed in analog form by the Institute of Science and Technology of the University of Michigan, and were processed in digital form by the Laboratory for Agricultural Remote Sensing (LARS) at Purdue University. This report is concerned only with the preliminary study of the digital processing. This and other aspects of automatic data processing will be described in more detail in a later report.

The U.S. Geological Survey conducted field studies before, during, and after the flight, and actively participated in the computer processing.

DATA ACQUISITION

A multispectral survey was made of selected test areas in Yellowstone National Park during flights by the University of Michigan in September, 1967, on a NASA-sponsored contract to the U.S. Geological Survey.

The University of Michigan 12-channel scanner in the 0.4 to 1.0_{c} m range (table 1) provided the principal data for the computer processing described in this report. In addition, two scanner systems recorded a total of five channels of reflective and thermal infrared data in the region beyond 1.0_{c} m. A simplified diagram of the scanner-spectrometer is shown in figure 1.

As the aircraft flies over the test area, the ground surface is scanned in overlapping strips by successive sweeps as a mirror is rotated at about 3,600 rpm. The radiant energy reflected (or, in the case of thermal infrared, emitted) from the earth's surface is reflected off the rotating mirror and focused, by other mirrors (M), onto the slit of a prism spectrometer, thus refracting the rays into a spectrum.

Fiber optics placed at appropriate places lead to photomultiplier tubes which measure the amount of radiant evergy received in each of 12 overlapping bands or channels of this spectrum from 0.4 to 1.0, m (visible violet to reflective infrared). This energy, which is now a voltage, is fed to a multitrack tape recorder where each of the 12 channels is recorded as a separate synchronized signal on magnetic tape. Similar, separate scanners recorded the infrared part of the spectrum from 1 to 14 m (see table 1).

	minera da antesa da a
Channel Wavelength number band, in micrometers (//m)	
SCANNER NO. 1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
SCANNER NO. 2	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
SCANNER NO. 3	
<u> </u>	

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Denotes thermal infrared channels; others are reflective.

Photographs taken at the same time the scanner data were acquired provide important supplements to the control data--commonly referred to as ground-truth data-. These photographs consist of color, color

L/Control data or "ground-truth data" refers to all that is known about the site conditions, including types and distribution of materials, (determined from conventional field mapping and examination supplemented by study of photographs taken from the air and ground, and measurements of such parameters as temperature, relative humidity), porosity, moisture content, and spectral reflectance of surface materials. Collectively, these constitute the control data with which the test data can be compared.

infrared, black and white panchromatic, and black and white infrared film on board the aircraft, and color film from stations on the ground.

DATA PROCESSING

Any given channel of magnetic tape data can be reproduced by photographing a cathode ray tube video (C-scope) presentation of the tape data (fig. 2). In contrast to processing the data in analog form such as that in figure 2, they can be processed in digital form by making a digitized copy of the original magnetic tape. This is the procedure used by the Laboratory for Agricultural Remote Sensing (LARS), Purdue University. The remainder of this report will discuss the LARS method of handling multispectral scanner data, and preliminary results obtained on a section of one flight-line of the Yellowstone Park data.

This particular run was digitized in such a manner that, on the average, there was neither overlap nor underlap of adjacent scan lines (fig. 1). The scanner resolution is 3 milliradians, and the aircraft altitude was about 6,000 feet above terrain. This required that every 10th scan line be digitized. Also, each scan line contains 220 ground resolution cells. The scanner mirror rotates at constant angular rate whereas the digitizing was done at equal linear rate. This, plus the effects of topographic relief, changes the size and shape of the ground resolution cell from the midpoint to both ends of the scan line. Even so, the average dimensions of the ground resolution cell are approximately 20 by 20 feet. There is a gap of about 20 feet between cells along each scan line.

The analog data were quantized to 8-bit accuracy. Therefore, each resolution element of each spectral band has one of 256 possible values.

A computer printout of the data from any given channel is made to simulate the analog video display by breaking the continuous tones of the gray scale into a finite number of discrete gray levels by assigning a letter or symbol to each level in accordance with the relative amount of ink each symbol imprints onto the paper. An example is given in figure 3. Each of the 15 reflective and 2 thermal channels could be printed as video and/or digital printout images, constituting 17-channel multiband imagery (for an example, see Lowe, 1968, fig. 12a and 12b, p. 94 and 95). It is virtually hopeless to attempt to integrate and evaluate data for each spot on the ground on all 17 images by visual inspection. However, now that the data are recorded as electrical signals on magnetic tape, they can easily be processed electronically in several ways to enhance selected features and to determine the statistical parameters of the spectral radiance (reflectance or emmittance) of each category of material in the scene.

In the pattern-recognition method being used by LARS-Purdue, specific, known, target areas in the scene are selected as training areas. The gray-scale printout (fig. 3) serves as a base for locating these areas. The area coordinates are fed to a computer system², which

2/ An IBM 360 model 44 computer with 64K bytes (8 bits per byte) of core storage was used. The principal computer language used was FORTRAN, with ASSEMBLY used for some of the support programs.

then computes the statistical parameters of each category of material. These statistics are calculated from the relative response in each channel (figs. 4 and 5). Relative response can be considered as an uncalibrated reflectance measurement, where the lack of calibration between channels allows only relative comparisons of the various categories of materials within each channel. The statistical parameters calculated are based on an assumed Gaussian distribution of the data, and include the mean, standard deviation, covariance, and divergence (i.e., the statistical measure of the separability of classes). These statistics are stored by the computer, and are used to represent the multispectral characteristics of each designated category of material. These statistics constitute the multispectral pattern or "fingerprint" of each terrain category, and are used in the computer program to 1) determine which channels are most useful for recognition of all object categories studied, and 2) actually classify the unknown data points into the known categories using a Gaussian maximum-likelihood decision scheme.

Four channels were used in this study. This decision was based on experience at LARS-Purdue which has shown that the use of only $\frac{1}{4}$ of the 12 channels in the 0.4 to 1.0 μ m range results in approximately as good a classification as does the use of more channels. Computer time, which increases in a geometric fashion with the number of channels used in the classification, is costly; therefore, some optimum for the number of channels used, the quality of results, and funds expended must be achieved.

The channel-selection part of the computer program provides the capability of measuring the degree of separability of Gaussian distributed categories and determining the optimum set of channels for doing so. This is done by calculating the statistical distance in N-dimensional space between the classes, N being 12 in this case.

The classification part of the computer program involves the actual classification (mapping) of an arbitrary number of classes using an arbitrary number of channels and a Gaussian maximum-likelihood scheme.

The display part of the program displays the results in line-printer form, and analyzes the recognition performance in each training area

A thresholding capability is provided in the display process. If the resolution element does not exceed a pre-set threshold--that is, if the element does not look sufficiently like a member of the class to which it has tentatively been assigned even though that is the most likely class--then final classification of that element is declined and that element is assigned to a null category (rejected) and displayed as a blank. Different thresholds may be assigned to each of the classes individually.

When coordinates of other known areas (test areas) are fed to the computer, the computer determines the classification of those areas and computes the accuracy of classification. Appraisal of numerous test areas gives a more complete and meaningful evaluation of the overall recognition performance of the computer program.

RESULTS OF DIGITAL COMPUTER PROCESSING

Computer-selected best set of four data channels

A test of automatic recognition and mapping of terrain by digital computer is currently underway at Purdue University. Data from a 12-squaremile area in Yellowstone Park are being used in this analysis. This area (figs. 6, 7, and 8) has a relief of about 1,800 feet A segment of the digital computer terrain map is shown in figure 9. This map was generated by the digital computer on the basis of 4 channels selected from 12channel scanner data and the statistical definition of classes provided by the training areas.

The part shown is composed of 127,600 data points--about 47 percent of the full map. The full map-covers an area of about 2 by 6 miles and is composed of 269,060 data points. The eight terrain categories discussed on the following pages were selected arbitrarily during field study and the early part of computer processing. They were selected not on the basis of composition or genesis, as we traditionally do in the course of geologic mapping, but on the basis of their overall surface color and brightness inasmuch as that is what the sensor was recording.

For example, geologists are more interested in the areal distribution of a sand and gravel unit, such as glacial till, than in the distribution of forest. Conventional maps would show the extent of till regardless of whether it was the site of a meadow or was covered with dense forest The terrain units of this study necessarily show the unforested till as one unit (till) and the forested till as a different unit. In fact, all forested terrain, regardless of underlying rock or soil unit, is shown as a single unit.

Initial processing disclosed that at least 13 categories could be separated. Several of these were subunits which have been combined to make the display shown in figure 9. The following is a brief description of the 9 categories (including shadows) mapped, and the accuracy of the computer classification as compared with the control data

1. BEDROCK EXPOSURES (red on fig. 9)

This unit (fig. 10) consists of bare bedrock exposed by glacial and stream erosion and mantled by minor amounts of loose rubble. These are unvegetated except for lichens and sparse tufts of dry grass, and have high reflectance in nearly all channels. This category is present mainly in the western part of the test area, along the banks of the Yellowstone River, and in a quarry where it was moderately well classified. Where misidentified, it generally was classified as vegetated rock rubble---a closely similar unit into which it grades.

2. TALUS (purple on fig. 9)

This category includes blockfields, talus, and talus flows of basalt lava flows, volcanic tuff, and gneiss, formed by frostriving and solifluction from outcrops. These are blocky and well-drained deposits; trees are widely spaced or absent (fig. 11). Blocks generally are covered with dark-gray lichens (fig. 12). The blocks range from a few inches to a few feet in diameter; most are larger than 3 inches. The slopes range widely, from $35^{\circ}-45^{\circ}$ at the head, to 5° or less at the toe. In places, a basin or trough lies just inside the distal margin of talus flows.

- All of the known areas of this unit and a few previously undetected are clearly delineated.
- 3. VEGETATED ROCK RUBBLE (dark brown in fig. 9)

This unit consists of locally derived angular rubble, frost-riven from basalt lavas, volcanic tuff and breccia and gneiss. Grasses, lichens, evergreen seedlings and mosses now cover more than threefourths of surface underlain by this debris (fig. 13). Blocks range in diameter from less than 1 inch to several feet and occur on slopes of from 0° to about 25°.

- The general areas classified are realistic, but in detail this unit is the least well classified. Because of the small size of the individual areas occupied by this unit, it is not possible to locate precisely a homogeneous training area. In the western part of the test area there are many small areas classified as this unit which are rock rubble frost riven from ice-scoured outcrops which are surrounded by glacial till.
- 4. GLACIAL KAME (light brown on fig. 9)
 - These are meadows underlain by sand and gravel, and mantled by sandy silt (fig. 14). The deposits are well-drained and are vegetated by grass and sagebrush. About one-fourth of the area of this unit is exposed mineral soil. Deer and elk manure locally cover as much as one-fourth the surface area.

Areas of kame meadows are accurately depicted. Areas of forested kame sand and gravel between open meadows of kame were erratically classified by the computer, mostly as other units. Control data show that in some places this unit occurs as small scattered patches surrounded by till, in those places it was misidentified by the computer

5. GLACIAL TILL (yellow)

- This category consists of meadow areas underlain by glacial till These are grassland and sagebrush areas (largely dormant at time of flight) with mineral soil exposed over about one-fifth of the area (fig. 15). Mineral soil consists of mixtures of silty to bouldery debris. Deer and elk manure locally is abundant in these meadows. This unit was first classified as four separate subunits on the basis of change in illumination across the flight path, but the four were later combined into one unit for the map printout. Classification is estimated as about 95 percent accurate over the entire flight strip. The other classification symbols scattered throughout areas of this unit generally are correct, for there are small areas of vegetated rubble and of bogs in meadow areas underlain by till.
- Although both the till and kame deposits are the sites of meadows, the differences in amount of soil exposed and the subtle differences in soil composition and texture apparently permit these two categories to be accurately distinguished by the computer
- 6. FOREST (dark green)

Depicted here are Douglas Fir and lodgepole forest (see fig. 11). Local clusters of deciduous trees were recognized separately, but combined with evergreens in this display. This forest unit generally is well recognized in large almost uniformly colored blocks. All forest areas seem to be consistently recognized.

7. BOG (light green)

These are moist areas supporting tall lush growth of sedges and grasses. Bogs are rather abundant because of glacial scour and derangement of drainages. This is one of the best recognized units. All known bogs and many previously unknown small bogs were correctly mapped.

8. SURFACE WATER (blue)

The Yellowstone River and Floating Island Lake (see fig. 11) were clearly recognized Phantom Lake (not on this segment of map) was dry at the time of flight, and so was correctly classified as bog rather than water. Parts of the Yellowstone River were omitted or generalized, principally because the width of the river is near the threshold of resolution, and because some data

points were integrated values of river plus some other category or categories. Stretches of white-water rapids were thresholded out. In places, the shaded north edges of patches of forest were printed as scattered points of water or talus.

9. SHADOWS (black)

Cloud shadows are near west and south-central margins of the test area (fig. 7), and deep shade occurs at base of north-facing cliffs and along north edge of forest areas All were recognized well. Those along the south-central margin are shown in figure 9.

10. OTHER (white)

All data points whose reflectance did not closely fit the statistical data for any of the above nine categories were rejected, and shown as blank regions on the map. A few of these are very light and bright areas of shallow water where bottom deposits show through, or are white-water rapids and gravel bars.

A blacktop road can be detected in places as a line of anomalous mixed colors, but is not consistently recognized as any particular category. The road is about as wide as a single data point and hence is at the threshold of resolution.

Although all bedrock types were classified as a single unit, the spectral reflectance histograms, spectrograms, and the divergence data indicate good possibility of distinguishing among several of the rock types present. Further testing over areas of larger rock exposure seems justified.

Thermal overlay

Another aspect of the work underway is a terrain classification made by substituting one or more data channels from the infrared scanners (1.0-14 m) for those of the 12-channel scanner (0.4-1.0 m)

For this test, channels 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12 of the 12-channel scanner were combined with the $1.0-14 \mu m$, $2.0-2.6 \mu m$, $4.5-55 \mu m$, and $8-14 \mu m$ channels. A computer program recently developed at LARS-Purdue made it possible to overlay the data from these two separate scanner systems. The computer selected the best set of four of these channels (table 2) for classification of the terrain in the same manner as before The maximum mismatch of registry is no more than three ground resolution cells, and probably is mostly no more than one cell

The "map" on the right side of figure 9 is the result of overlaying one thermal and three reflective channels (0.66-0.72, 0.80-1.0, 2.0-2.6,and $8-14 \mu$ m). Only one of these channels is in the visible range Because the scan angle of the thermal scanner was much narrower than the reflective, this display covers only the middle east-west strip of those shown to the left of it The close correspondence of this display with the others indicates the accuracy of classification.

	Wavelength band used	Color or Spectral region	Michigan scanner channel number
	0.44-0.46 mm	Blue	2
Best 4	.62- 66 66 70	Orange	9
Channels	.80-1.0	Infrared	10
	.6672	Red	10
	.80-1.0	Infrared	12
	2.0 -2.6	Infrared	
	8 -14	Thermal infrared	
ERTS scanner cha	annels:		
0.5-0.6 µm	.5255	Green	б
.67	.6266	Orange	9
.78	.728	Infrared	11
.8-1.2	.8 -1.0	Infrared	12

Table 2.--Channels used in the terrain classification and mapping, and to simulate the ERTS data channels.

0.5-0.6	4 <u>m</u>	•52 - •55	Green	6
.67		.6266	Orange	9
.78		.728	Infrared	11
.8-1.2		.8 -1.0	Infrared	12
ERTS RBV	cameras ·	<u> </u>		
0.535 <i>A</i> m	peak	.5255	Green	6
.680		.6672	Red	10
.760		.728	Infrared	11

These studies should enable us to further extend the range of potential diagnostic spectra for existing categories and may point out some additional terrain categories. In addition, they will be useful tests of how well computer programs can take data from different scanner systems and automatically overlay them to produce a single set of multispectral data

Simulation of ERTS data channels

Along with the studies of evaluating the accuracy of performance, we are studying how well data in wavelength bands tentatively designated for the proposed Earth Resources Technology Satellite (ERTS) might serve for automatic mapping of the same ten terrain categories in the same area

The midpoints of the channels of the proposed ERTS 4-channel scanner, and the peak transmissions of the three Return Beam Vidicom (RBV) cameras were matched with the closest channels of the University of Michigan 12channel scanner. These data are summarized in table 2 and figure 16.

The classification using the <u>simulated</u> ERTS 3-RBV cameras is shown in the middle display in figure 9. Note the close agreement with the top display--that based on the computer-selected best set of four channels. The display of the simulated ERTS 4-channel scanner data has not been colored yet. A segment of the uncolored classification is shown in figure 17, with the RBV camera simulation and the computer-selected 4-channel display, for comparison (figs 18-20).

ACCURACY

In general, the products are highly satisfactory terrain maps which portray PHYSIOGRAPHIC UNITS or ROCK-SOIL-VEGETATION ASSOCIATION UNITS. Accuracy is determined by comparing the computer-generated maps with the ground control data

Where terrain categories were areally extensive, they were correctly identified by the computer Most inaccuracies occurred where the units were small and where some were below the threshold of resolution, accordingly, the radiance for a given resolution cell was a complex combination of several categories. Presumably, the computer usually selected the dominant terrain unit or, by thresholding, indicated that the spectral properties did not clearly fit any of the classes.

For comparison of the performance of classification using the ERTS simulations with the best sets of 4 channels, the computer rated itself in the training areas only. For example, of the total of 5,418 data points used in training the computer, less than 20 of those were subsequently classified (using the best set of 4 channels) as something other than what they were called during the training. The ratings are as follows:

Best set of 4 channels----- 99.6 percent Thermal overlay------ 98.8 ERTS 4-channel scanner---- 97.7 ERTS 3-RBV cameras----- 93.8

The figures are a good measure of the relative accuracy of each test. They are misleading in part because the computer assumes that each training area is homogeneous and completely what it was labeled. The 0.4 percent error probably is a close measure of the degree of inhomogeneity of the material in the training areas.

Preliminary results of computer studies which rate the accuracy of classification of test areas give the following overall performance (data from unpublished report by Marc G. Tanguay):

Best set of 4 channels----- 86 percent Simulated ERTS 4 channels---- 83 Simulated ERTS 3-RBV cameras--- 82 Thermal overlay----- 81

These figures should be taken as approximations only. They agree with a preliminary visual estimate that the overall accuracy of all displays is more than 80 percent, and indicate that the best set of $\frac{1}{4}$ channels gives slightly better results than the other 3 displays, all of which are about equally good.

The drop in accuracy from 99 to 86 percent, etc., from the training to the test areas, is understandable, because we would expect the computer to perform well in the areas where it was trained, (by circular reasoning) unless the reflectance of two or more categories were closely similar in all channels used.

For the training areas, the classification made using the overlay of thermal and reflective channels was virtually as accurate as the best classification--that using the computer-selected best set of 4 reflective channels (98.8 vs 99.6 percent, respectively). However, for the test areas, the thermal overlay was least accurate (about 81 vs 86 percent). The slight mismatch of registry in parts of the thermal overlay test undoubtedly results in a less accurate classification than if all channels were in complete registry, as would occur if a single scanner system could cover the range of 0.4 to $14 \,\mu$ m or more.

Nevertheless, these studies indicate that the infrared region is promising in the classification of some terrain units. For example, in the test areas the thermal overlay classification was better than the computer-selected best four channel classification for glacial till (95 vs 93 percent), glacial kame (82 vs 74 percent), and bog (81 vs 80 percent). The accuracy of classification of talus in the test areas was only about 49 percent; however, most of the error was due to talus being misclassified as vegetated rock rubble--a unit which actually is quite similar to talus. If talus and rock rubble are combined as a single unit, the accuracy jumps to about 83 percent, whereas the same combination was classified only about 76 percent when using the best set of 4 channels in the test areas.

In geologic applications it is more desirable to know what <u>kind of</u> <u>material</u> the forest is growing on than simply to know where the forest is. The thermal overlay classification has some potential in this regard, it has been shown (Waldrop, 1969) that thermal infrared in forest areas can in places indicate the sites of thick, unconsolidated, well-drained gravels vs bare or thinly mantled bedrock.

An obvious advantage of infrared data channels for space applications is the haze penetration ability. Further investigations are needed to adequately assess the potential of these channels, particularly over areas of extensive rock outcrops.

Studies presently underway also include careful evaluation of the overall accuracy by point-to-point comparison with control maps. It is important to recall the recognition of previously undetected areas of occurrence of some terrain units. This means that errors in the control maps are being detected at the same time errors in the computer printout are being sought.

In general, the ERTS simulations differed from the computer-selected best 4 channels as follows.

- 1. For areas correctly shown as FOREST on the classification using the best 4 channels, the ERTS 4-channel classification showed small to moderate amounts of TALUS and WATER, whereas the RBV 3-channel classification showed greater amounts.
- 2. In places, both ERTS classifications showed considerably more BOGS than are present in areas that were correctly classified by the best 4 channels.
- 3. Slightly poorer classification of water was performed in the ERTS classification. However, few of the bodies or areas of water in the test area are of sufficient size to serve as good training areas, so we do not view this part of the classification as a good test of the ability of the ERTS data channels to permit automatic identification of water.

We wish to point out that these are not complete simulations of the ERTS data channels, but are only first approximations, because we have not attempted to simulate 1) the poorer resolution of the satellite sensors due to vast difference in scale, 2) the effects of atmospheric attenuation, or 3) the broader wavelength bands of most of the ERTS sensors (see table 2). Studies underway at the University of Michigan are aimed at more closely simulating the actual wavelength bands of the ERTS sensors We further emphasize that all of the experiments, including the simulations, are based on only one set of data along 6 miles of traverse. However, the fact that these data were not gathered under optimum conditions means that the accuracy of detection and the number of

3/ The data were gathered at about 2 p.m., September 19, 1967, along a nearly east-west traverse at about 6,000 feet above mean terrain elevation. No appreciable rain had fallen for several weeks; therefore the ground was very dry. To minimize shadows and illumination-angle variations, it would have been better to fly at midday along traverses directly toward or away from the sun's nadir (roughly north-south). Flights at higher elevations above terrain would also reduce in reduction of variations in illumination-angle and scale; however, there probably is some altitude (not yet determined) above which the advantages gained in more-uniform illumination angle and scale might tend to be canceled by the adverse effects of the thicker column of atmosphere between the ground and the sensors. Flights made shortly after a rain would have been better to emphasize or detect differences in soils on the basis of their porosity and permeability as manifested by relative content of moisture. Flights earlier in the summer would have been better to emphasize differences in vegetation and, probably, in soll moisture.

detectable terrain categories are apt to increase for data gathered under conditions closer to optimum.

The results of these limited experiments on a single set of data, taken together with the vast store of accumulated data from studies of agricultural crops, demonstrate clearly that multispectral terrain analysis can separate a wide variety of categories encompassing a broad range of spectral radiance, and that the data channels selected for ERTS are likely to be about as successful for terrain analysis as any other combination of channels that might have been selected.

APPLICATION

In spite of how well the computer was able to classify and map this test area, an experienced interpreter could have done as well or better with stereopairs of color and color infrared aerial photographs, for (among other things) he has the ability to distinguish objects on the basis of spatial in addition to spectral patterns.

For several years now there have been discussions and expressions of concern about the need to examine vast areas of the earth's surface, the desirability of satellite-borne remote sensors to gather the needed data, and at the same time concern for the appallingly vast quantity of data that are needed and that would become available from satellites. Handling these data will require automatic processing by computer--not to make the <u>final and only</u> decisions of classification, but to perform the first rough culling and reconnaissance interpreting, calling attention to special places that warrant examination by a <u>human</u> interpreter. For, although in general a human can do a better job of interpreting, the computer can do it much faster. It's simply a matter of data compression. It is with this need in mind that we have engaged in this study of automatic data processing by computer, that includes.

- 1. Testing the suitability of existing sensors and computer software.
- 2. Determining how many and what kinds of natural and manmade terrain elements can be satisfactorily classified in this particular climatic region,
- 3. Simulating the spectral response of the proposed ERTS sensors.

The existing scanners of the University of Michigan are basically well suited for these studies. Satellite application will, of course, require miniaturization, including combining the present three separate scanner systems into one that covers the range $0.4-14 \mu m$ or more

The existing capabilities of classification programs developed at LARS-Purdue are equally well suited for these studies of automatic data processing. Their programs were established for agricultural purposes to work with the University of Michigan multispectral scanner data. Our present studies principally involve an extension of their work into another kind of terrain--one that presents something other than row crops in flat fields.

All four of the experiments (three of which are displayed in fig. 9) produced good results. They are good classifications. We don't wish to set any specific limits on how good "good" is. Obviously some are better than others, and none is perfect--but neither is the manmade control map. We are convinced, however, that all can be considered as more than adequate for the reconnaissance first-approximation kind of interpreting and mapping which we expect to accomplish with the satellite data.

If we examine the spectral range spanned for each of the displays (table 2 and fig. 16), we see that they vary by a factor of nearly 50 from 0.28 µm for the 3-camera ERTS system to 13 34 µm for the thermal overlay classification. This implies that, for a broad range of terrain categories, many combinations of 3 or 4 channels of data in the 0.4-14 µm range would be satisfactory. More complete simulations, in which the effects of the atmosphere are considered, undoubtedly will require identification as to what channels would be more suitable. For example, the haze penetration ability of some reflective infrared channels, mentioned earlier, is an obvious advantage for satellite applications, whereas the blue part of the spectrum is apt to have low signal-to-noise ratio and therefore be of limited use except for oceanography. We need worry about careful selection of specific wavelength bands only if a specific category is being sought. Inasmuch as the ERTS program is aimed at covering many scientific disciplines and user groups--hence involving many terrain categories -- the highly specific requirements are not now pertinent to tests of the suitability of the proposed satellite sensors.

We believe that the concept rather than the specific immediate results of these studies, is the most important product. Admittedly it is not really important to find that talus occurs on the shore of a lake here or that a narrow bog lies there--we already know most of that for this particular area. The important point is that eight or more widely different terrain units could be accurately mapped automatically. For the moment it doesn't really matter what the units are or where they occur--they could as easily have been orchards, barns, landing strips, municipal parks surrounded by streets and buildings, beaches, polluted or clean water, marchland, etc.

In fact, we believe that these particular maps (fig. 9) are overclassified in comparison with what we will want to attempt from space-at least for our first attempts. It may well suffice to map out such features as WATER, VEGETATION, BARE SOIL, and ROCKS, and to interpret other things, such as geologic structure, from the resulting patterns and their relation to topography.

Especially significant applications in geology and other fields will be for those features that are time-dependent--changing with the seasons or with a few years' time. Once an area has been mapped by computer, the areas of change can be periodically mapped automatically in terms of material, location, and the amount of area changed.

We suggest that economically feasible geologic applications will include those that contribute to regional mapping, engineering geology, hydrology, and volcanology. Other applications may be in the fields of agriculture, cartography, land-use and land-management studies, and in still other fields in which seasonal and other changes are more rapid than in most geologic applications. In many fields, these data will become more useful by combining them with other (nonspectral) data--for example, the engineering or military application to trafficability studies--by combining these terrain data with slope (from radar images or topographic maps).

The fact that we are sensing surface material emphasizes the need for multidisciplinary approach to terrain mapping because the surface involves the complex interplay of at least bedrock and surficial geology, hydrology, soils, vegetation, and meteorology. Traditionally, in mapping many regions of the earth, we interpret the geology secondarily from the patterns of other materials and features.

We hope that, in the preceding reviews of the steps involved in acquiring and processing the data, other workers can see in the results some applications to their own fields of interest.

COST STATEMENT

The cost of computer time and for digitizing of analog data was about \$7,400. The cost of the entire multispectral survey, of which the present test site is a small part, was about \$26,000. Salaries of research personnel are not included in these cost estimates. In view of the fact that these studies are research- and development-oriented, it is impractical to attempt to establish costs for man-hours involved. Years of work and research are represented in the developing and continual refining of the scanner systems used in gathering the data and the computer programs used in processing the data.

Now that the geologists and the computer specialists have experience in working together as a team, with this kind of data, it is likely that the costs would be somewhat less for such a study of similar terrain, elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The data which form the subject of this preliminary report were derived from work done on contract by the following university research groups and persons. (1) Institute of Science and Technology, Willow Run Laboratories, University of Michigan; airborne multispectral survey and analog processing of data. Phil Hasell, Frederick Thompson, and Leo Larsen; (2) Laboratory for Agricultural Remote Sensing, Purdue University; digitizing the magnetic tapes, computer generation of statistical data, and recognition processing. Robert MacDonald, David Landgrebe, Terry Phillips, and Paul Anuta.

The following U.S. Geological Survey personnel assisted in gathering ground-truth data: Harold Prostka furnished a bedrock geologic map and helped lay out navigation aids along the flight path; and Douglas Carter, David Daniels, Jules Friedman, Stephen Gawarecki, and Philip Philbin furnished ground measurements of radiation and ground and air temperatures during the time of overflight.

REFERENCES

More detailed information on optical/mechanical scanners and the various techniques and computer programs described in this report can be found in the following:

- Cardillo, G. P., and Landgrebe, D. A., 1966, On pattern recognition: Purdue Univ. Laboratory for Agricultural Remote Sensing Inf. Note 010866, 18 p. mimeographed.
- Landgrebe, D. A., and Staff, 1968, LARSYAA, A processing system for airborne earth resources data: Purdue Univ. Laboratory for Agricultural Remote Sensing Inf. Note 091968, 34 p. mimeographed.
- Lowe, D. S., 1968, Line scan devices and why use them, in Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment. Ann Arbor, Michigan Univ. Inst. of Science and Technology, p. 77-100.
- Swain, P. H., and Germann, D. A., On the application of man-machine computing systems to problems in remote-sensing: Purdue Univ. Laboratory for Agricultural Remote Sensing Inf. Note 051368, 10 p. mimeographed.

- Waldrop, H. A., 1969, Detection of thick surficial deposits on 8-14/4 infrared imagery of the Madison Plateau, Yellowstone National Park: U.S. Geol. Survey open-file report, 7 p., 2 figs.
- Willow Run Laboratories Staff, 1968, Investigations of spectrum-matching techniques for remote sensing in agriculture; final report, January 1968 through September 1968. Ann Arbor, Michigan Univ. Inst. of Science and Technology Infrared and Optical Sensor Laboratory report no. 1674-10-F, 48 p. This report contains numerous pertinent references.







Figure 3-2.- Gray-scale video display of reflectance from channel 9 (0.62-.66 μm). Area is same as shown in eastern parts of figures 7 and 9. Enlarged from data generated by the Institute of Science and Technology, University of Michigan.



Figure 3-3.- Ten-level gray-scale digital computer display of reflectance from channel 9 (0.62-.66 μ m), as obtained by LARS-Purdue. Area shown is bottom (south) half of that shown in figure 2.







Figure 3-5.- Comparisons of spectral reflectance of training areas of four classes of material. Reflectance or radiance, increasing upward, is shown for each of the 12 channels of the Michigan scanner data. A vertical line two standard deviations long, centered about the mean radiance, is drawn using alphanumeric symbols.



Figure 3-6.- Index map of test area.



.

Figure 3-7.- Aerial photograph of test area. Tick marks indicate approximate limits of area shown on figure 2-9.

. .

.



Figure 3-8.- Panorama of test area, looking west. Yellowstone River is near right edge, Crescent Hill is at the left edge.

.

.



Figure 3-9.- Photograph of part of handcolored computer printout of terrain maps, north-central Yellowstone Park. Area is same as shown between tick marks on figure 7.

Left display: based on the computerselected best set of four data channels. Middle display: based on the simulation of the ERTS RBV camera data channels.

Right display: based on the overlay of data from the reflective and the infrared scanner systems. Because the scan angle of the thermal scanner was much narrower then that of the reflective, this display covers only the middle strip of those shown to the left of it.





Figure 3-11.- Talus of rhyolite tuff near Floating Island Lake. Crescent Hill is in the background.



Figure 3-12.- Blocks of rhyolite tuff in talus, showing contrast between fresh surfaces (below hammer head) and surfaces coated with dark lichens.



Figure 3-13.- Vegetated rock rubble. These are mixtures of angular blocks of basalt (top photograph), bedrock slabs and blocks of gneiss (foreground of lower photograph), lichens, soil, dry grass, sagebrush, weeds, evergreen seedlings, and twigs.



Figure 3-14.- Glacial kame, showing grass, mineral soil, weeds, dead vegetation, elk manure (top photograph), and sagebrush debris (bottom photograph).



brush, weeds, and twigs. Wild range in texture is shown, from fine grained (top) to coarse grained (bottom).



Figure 3-16.- Comparison of wavelength bands used in this computer study.



Figure 3-17.- Segment of terrain map obtained by using computer-selected best set of four channels of reflective data. Symbols used to designate the terrain units are:

	hedrock exposures	=	glacial kame	W	surface water
	bear our exposures		algoigl till	**	Burrace waver
3	telus	_	graciar UIII	ਸ	shadows
	Uarus	1	forest		SIIduows
R .	vegetated rock rubble	/	101690	(blank)	thresholded
٢	ACREMENTION TRODIE	1	bog	(DIGHT /	UITESHOTGEG



,

Figure 3-18.- Segment of terrain map obtained by using simulations of ERTS 4-channel scanner data. Symbols used to designate the terrain units are:

	hedrock exposures	=	glacial kame	1.7	surface water
~	beurock exposures		glacial till	¥¥	Suilace water
8	talus	1	Benerat	H	shadows
\$	vegetated rock rubble	/	iorest	(hlank)	thresholded
Ψ	AcBenganca LOCK LUDDIC	1	bog	(Drain)	Uniconoraca



Figure 3-19.- Segment of terrain map obtained by using simulations of ERTS 3-RBV camera data. Symbols used to designate the terrain units are:

	hodrook ornogunog		glacial kame	1.7	surface ustor
•	bearock exposures	_	dlacial till	W	surface water
8	telus	_	graciar urr	ਸ	shadows
Ÿ	UGLUD	1	forest	/	biiddow b
\$	vegetated rock rubble	<i>'</i> .		(blank)	thresholded
r		1	bog	(,	



Figure 3-20.- Segment of terrain map obtained by combining one thermal infrared and three reflective channels of data. Symbols used to designate the terrain units are:

- 8 talus
- \$ vegetated rock rubble
- = glacial kame

- glacial till / forest ' bog

H shadows (blank) thresholded

÷.

•