Reprinted from

Symposium on Machine Processing of Remotely Sensed Data

June 3 - 5, 1975

The Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing

Purdue University West Lafayette Indiana

IEEE Catalog No. 75CH1009-0 -C

Copyright © 1975 IEEE
The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

Copyright © 2004 IEEE. This material is provided with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of the products or services of the Purdue Research Foundation/University. Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by writing to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.

OF PROPORTIONS IN EARTH OBSERVATIONS

Demetrios Kazakos

Rice University, Houston, Texas

ABSTRACT

In Earth observations problems, we usually have the situation of knowing accurately the probability density functions of the several classes of interest, and we need to classify a set of observations with unknown class proportions.

The observations are in n-dimensional space, where $\, n \,$ is the number of spectral bands.

Two recursive algorithms for classifying the observations and estimating the prior probabilities are described. The first one achieves simultaneous classification of pixels and estimation of prior probabilities (or proportions) and the second one estimates the proportions in a recursive fashion.

There is a similarity of the second approach to maximum likelihood estimation, but the proposed method requires less computer time.

SUMMARY

FIRST METHOD

A. Two Class Case

We assume that each observation $X_1 \in E^n$ comes from one of two hypotheses, H_1^i , H_2 with p.d.f's $f_1(X)$, $f_2(X)$.

We assume also that the prior probability π of H_1 is unknown.

Let P_n be the nth estimate of π .

Let

$$W_{k+1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{f_1(X_{k+1})}{f_2(X_{k+1})} \ge \frac{1 - P_k}{P_k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Extending the adaptive algorithm of Davisson and Schwartz [12] for simultaneous detection of the X_j observations and estimation of π , we construct the following recursive estimation-detection scheme:

$$P_{n+1} = P_n - (n+1)^{-1} \cdot [P_n - W_{n+1}]$$

i.e., at each step, we use the current estimate P_n for classification of \boldsymbol{X}_{n+1} and determination of \boldsymbol{W}_{n+1} .

It turns out that the above algorithm is simple but the estimate is biased. The contribution of the present work is the modification of the algorithm, so that

- (a) The estimate P_n becomes unbiased.
- (b) Convergence in the mean square sense is optimally accelerated.

This improvement is achieved by the introduction of two nonlinear transformations, L(P) and g(P), defined for $P \to \{0, 1\}$.

The new algorithm is:

$$P_{n+1} = P_n - (n+1)^{-1} \cdot L(P_n) \cdot \left[g(P_n) - W_{n+1} \right]$$

Using Stochastic Approximation theory, the function g is chosen so that the estimate is unbiased. The choice that achieves this, is:

$$g(s) = \int \left[s f_1(X) + (1-s) f_2(X) \right] dX$$

where

$$R(s) = \left\{ X \in E^n : sf_1(X) \ge (1-s) f_2(X) \right\}$$

for
$$s \in (0,1)$$

There is also an optimal choice for $L\left(P\right)$, in the sense that the asymptotic error variance is minimized.

The optimal choice is:

$$L(s) = [G(s)]^{-1}$$

for $s \in [e, 1-e]$

where

e = small pos. number

$$G(s) = \int \left[f_1(X) - f_2(X) \right] dX$$

$$R(s)$$

Using Sacks Stochastic Approximation theorem [8], convergence to the true parameter $\ \pi$ is ensured.

The asymptotic error variance is:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n E(P_n - \pi)^2 = \left[G(\pi)\right]^{-2}.$$

$$\cdot g(\pi) \left(1-g(\pi)\right)$$

B. m > 2 Class Case

Let H_1, \ldots, H_m be the m hypotheses, with known probability density functions

$$f_1(X), \dots, f_m(X)$$
 , $X \in E^n$

Let $\pi = \text{vector of prior probabilities}$ $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{m-1})$

The extension of the original Davisson-Schwartz algorithm, is:

$$P_{n+1} = P_n - (n+1)^{-1}$$
.
 $\left[P_n^1 - W_{n+1}^1, \dots, P_n^{m-1} - W_{n+1}^{m-1}\right]$

where

$$P_n = (P_n^1, \dots, P_n^{m-1})$$

and P_n^k is the nth estimate of π_k .

The quantities W_{n+1}^{k} are defined as follows:

$$W_{n+1}^{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P_{n}^{k} f_{k}(X_{n+1}) = \\ = \max_{j} P_{n}^{j} f_{j}(X_{n+1}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

They involve the "updating factors" in computing P_{n+1} from P_n .

Again, the algorithm is biased.

The improvement proposed is nontrivial extension of the m=2 case.

We define the regions:

$$R_{k}(\pi) = \left\{ X \in E^{n} ; \pi_{k} f_{k}(X) = \right.$$
$$= \max_{S} \pi_{S} f_{S}(X) \right\}$$

for $k=1,\ldots,m$

Then, we define the functions:

$$g_k : (0,1)^m \rightarrow (0,1)$$
,

$$k=1,\ldots,m-1$$

$$g_k(\pi) = \sum_{s=1}^m \pi_s \int_{R_s(\pi)} f_s(X) dX$$

for k = 1, ..., m-1

Also, we define an (m-1) x (m-1) matrix,

$$L(\pi) = \left\{L_{ij}(\pi)\right\},$$

$$i, j=1, \dots, m-1$$

 $L_{ij}(\pi)$ are functions, defined on $(0,1)^m$

$$L_{ij}(\pi) : (0,1)^{m} \rightarrow (0,L_{o})$$

Then, the proposed algorithm has the form:

$$P_{n+1} = P_n - (n+1)^{-1} \cdot A \cdot \cdot L(\pi) \cdot \left[g_1(P_n) - W_{n+1}^1, \dots, g_{m-1}(P_n) - W_{n+1}^{m-1} \right]$$

The functions $g_k(\pi)$ make the algorithm unbiased.

Furthermore, there is a choice of the matrix $L(\pi)$ that will guarantee fast convergence, according to Stochastic Approximation theory of Sacks [8].

The choice of $L_{ij}(\pi)$ that will do it, is:

$$L_{sk}(\pi) = \int \left[f_k(X) - f_m(X) \right] dX$$

$$R_s(\pi)$$

$$s, k=1, \dots, m-1$$

A is a scalar constant, the adjustment of which will further improve convergence.

The formula for the asymptotic error convariance matrix

$$Q(\pi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n E(P_n - \pi)^T \cdot (P_n - \pi)$$

is given in Ref. [4].

The algorithm is currently being applied to Earth observations data.

SECOND METHOD

Another way of estimating the prior probabilities is by the method of mixtures.

A. Two Calss Case

We construct the mixture density

$$g(X \mid \pi) = \pi f_1(X) + (1-\pi) f_2(X),$$

, $X \in E^n$

The maximum likelihood estimate of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is the argument that maximizes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \log g(X_k \mid \pi)$$

It is shown in [11] that the maximum likelihood estimate is asymptotically efficient for "nice" density functions.

However, it is in many cases difficult to compute because it involves the maximization of a Nth degree polynomial.

Instead, a recursive algorithm is proposed.

Let $\,P_{\,n}\,$ be the nth $\,$ estimate of $\,\pi$. Then the algorithm is:

$$P_{n+1} = P_n - (n+1)^{-1} \cdot L(P_n) \cdot \frac{f_1(X_{n+1}) - f_2(X_{n+1})}{P_n f_1(X_{n+1}) + (1-P_n)f_2(X_{n+1})}$$

where L(P $_{n})$ is an adjustable weight function, defined on P $_{n}\,\varepsilon\,(0\,,1)$.

Using Sacks Stochastic Approximation theory, it is shown in [11] that P converges in mean square to π , (the true n value).

Furthermore, there is a choice for $L(\pi)$ that will optimize the speed of convergence.

The optimal
$$L=L_o(\pi)$$
 is:
 $L_o(\pi) = \left[J(\pi)\right]^{-1}$

where

$$J(\pi) = \int_{E^n} \left[f_1(X) - f_2(X) \right]^2 \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}(X \mid \pi) dX$$

For the above choice, the estimate P_n is asymptotically efficient, i.e.

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} E(P_n - \pi)^2 = \left[J(\pi)\right]^{-1} =$ Rao-Cramér lower bound.

B. m > 2 Class Case

We have to estimate the vector parameter $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{m-1})$.

Let $P_n = (P_n^1, \dots, P_n^{m-1}) =$ nth estimate of π .

Let

$$g(X \mid \pi) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \pi_k f_k(X) + \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \pi_k\right) f_m(X)$$

The algorithm now is:

$$P_{n+1} = P_{n} - (n+1)^{-1} \cdot .$$

$$\cdot g^{-1}(X_{n+1} \mid P_{n}) \cdot .$$

$$\cdot A(P_{n}) \cdot [f_{1}(X_{n+1}) - f_{m}(X_{n+1}), ...,$$

$$\cdot ..., f_{m-1}(X_{n+1}) - f_{m}(X_{n+1})]$$

The details of the convergence properties of the above algorithm can be found in [11].

 $A(P_n)$ is a function:

$$A(P) : (0,1)^{m-1} \rightarrow (0,A_0)$$

The adjustment of which accelerates convergence of the algorithm.

The above algorithm is similar in character to the maximum likelihood estimate of $\overline{}$.

It can be described as a recursive version of the $\mbox{ MLE}$,

The updating of P is done by moving along the gradient of log g(X $_{n+1}$ \mid $^{\pi}$).

The proposed algorithm is currently being tested in comparison to the maximum likelihood one, using simulated and then ERTS data.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. C. Boes, "On the Estimation of Mixing Distributions," Ann. Math. Statistics, 1966, p. 177.
- [2] K. Fukunaga and T. Krile, "Calculation of Bayes' Recognition Error for Two Multivariate Gaussian Distributions," IEEE Trans. on Comp., March, 1969.
- [3] T. Y. Young and G. Coraluppi,
 "Stochastic Estimation of a Mixture of
 Normal Density Functions Using
 Information Criterion," IEEE Trans.
 on IT, May, 1970.
- [4] D. Kazakos, "Optimal Design of an Unsupervised Adaptive Classifier with Unknown Priors," ICSA, Rice University Technical Report, May, 1974. (Will appear as an article.)
- [5] S. J. Yakowitz, "Unsupervised Learning and the Identifiability of Finite Mixtures," IEEE Trans. on IT, 1970, (3).
- [6] H. Cramér, "Mathematical Methods of Statistics," Princeton University Press, 1946.

- [7] M. D. Perlman, "The Limiting Behavior of Multiple Roots of the Likelihood Equation," Department of Statistics, University of Minnesota, Technical Report 125, July, 1969.
- [8] J. Sacks, "Asymptotic Distribution of Stochastic Approximation Procedures," Ann. Math. Statistics, 1958 (2).
- [9] J. R. Blum, "Multidimensional Stochastic Approximation Method," Ann Math. Statistics, 1954, p. 737.
- [10] D. Sakrison, "Stochastic Approximation: A Recursive Method for Solving

- Regression Problems," Advances in Communication Systems, Vol. 2, A. V. Balakrishnan, ed. New York, Academic Press, 1966, pp. 51.
- [11] D. Kazakos, "Recursive Estimation of Prior Probabilities Using Mixtures, "ICSA Rice Univ. Technical Report, Sept. 1974
- [12] L. D. Davisson and S. C. Schwartz, "Analysis of a Decision Directed Receiver with Unknown Priors," IEEE Trans. on Inf. Th. 1970 (3).