

Reprinted from

**Symposium on
Machine Processing of
Remotely Sensed Data**

June 29 - July 1, 1976

The Laboratory for Applications of
Remote Sensing

Purdue University
West Lafayette
Indiana

IEEE Catalog No.
76CH1103-1 MPRSD

Copyright © 1976 IEEE
The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

Copyright © 2004 IEEE. This material is provided with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of the products or services of the Purdue Research Foundation/University. Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by writing to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.

The results indicate that machine processing (LARSYS) of LANDSAT-1 data accurately represents the general land use character of the study area and of the delineated potential recreation areas. However, results were somewhat less accurate when denoting exact land uses on a point, by point basis.

REMOTE SENSING APPLICATIONS FOR
IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL RECREATION RESOURCES

William C. Beattie, M.S.
Kenneth A. Wenner, Ph.D.
Park and Recreation Division
Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio

ABSTRACT

The applicability of utilizing machine processing (LARSYS) of LANDSAT-1 data for identification of potential recreation resources was investigated. A 6,560 acre tract of land in Geauga County, Ohio, which contained areas identified in the 1971-1977 Statewide Plan for Outdoor Recreation in Ohio as having potential for outdoor recreation, was selected as the study area. Aerial photographs, field inspection data and land use maps prepared by computer analysis of LANDSAT data were used in this study.

The research was divided into four phases:

1. Identification and evaluation of potential outdoor recreation areas by using either aerial and field data or machine processed data.
2. Qualitative analysis of machine processed data of the entire study area.
3. Quantitative analysis of machine processed data of the entire study area.
4. A qualitative and quantitative analysis of the machine processed data for specific sites within the study area.

The land use maps produced by computer aided analysis techniques were evaluated against land use maps prepared by using the aerial photography and field observation data. Two measures were utilized to evaluate the accuracy of four classes (forest, agriculture, water and urban). Total acreage and individual point by point comparisons were made for both the entire study area and for delineated potential recreation areas.