

Reprinted from

**Seventh International Symposium**

**Machine Processing of**

**Remotely Sensed Data**

with special emphasis on

**Range, Forest and Wetlands Assessment**

**June 23 - 26, 1981**

**Proceedings**

Purdue University  
The Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing  
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 USA

Copyright © 1981

by Purdue Research Foundation, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907. All Rights Reserved.

This paper is provided for personal educational use only,  
under permission from Purdue Research Foundation.

Purdue Research Foundation

# ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES FOR UTILIZING LANDSAT DATA TO ADDRESS FOREST AND RANGE APPLICATIONS

FRANK G. SADOWSKI

EROS Data Center  
Sioux Falls, South Dakota

## ABSTRACT IN LIEU OF MANUSCRIPT

### ABSTRACT

The use of maximum likelihood classification for mapping vegetation species with Landsat presupposes that a desired set of forest or range cover type conditions can be uniquely specified in multispectral measurement space. However, frequent occurrence of less than optimal classification results indicates that such an assumption is often not realized. More effective use of Landsat data may be realized through alternative approaches to addressing forest and range information needs.

The basic tenet of this paper is to suggest that the development and use of remote sensing technology can be advanced by developing alternative approaches to interpreting and processing Landsat data. There exists a need to consider alternative approaches in situations where the exclusive mapping of vegetation cover types (i.e., species composition) is incapable of providing information to an acceptable level of accuracy. Such situations occur when a variety of scene influences act in concert within a data set to sufficiently alter the spectral character of desired vegetation cover types such that consistent and unambiguous interpretation of Landsat response is precluded. In light of such circumstances, it becomes necessary to re-evaluate the capabilities of the data relative to the user's needs.

Alternative approaches for interpreting Landsat data that key on dominant scene influences and that detect and/or identify changes may have potential for providing more reliable and yet useful information for forest and range applications. Dominant influences frequently include such parameters as vegetation density, soil color, and soil brightness. Determining the relative amount of vegetation on the

landscape provides information important in its own right and specific to its proper location. Such information; provided at critical seasons; should be useful information to grazing managers. It is also possible that variations in soil color and/or brightness mapped by Landsat can provide information that may be correlated with parameters such as soil type; erodability, or vegetative growth potential. Data processing approaches that include greenness/brightness types of transformations, data stratification, and digital scaling as well as maximum likelihood classification, should all be evaluated for their capability to provide such information. In areas where optimal scene classification is only accomplished with higher resolution photography and is perhaps only necessary at longer periodic intervals, the most appropriate use of Landsat data might involve the detection; identification and/or monitoring of more frequent changes.

It is suggested that the more reliable information associated with dominant scene influences and/or changes has the desirable benefit of being site-specific, and therefore appropriately useful within the context of a geographic information system.

### AUTHOR BIOGRAPHICAL DATA

Frank Sadowski is Senior Applications Scientist in Biosciences at the EROS Data Center in Sioux Falls. His principal duties include the research, design and implementation of cooperative demonstration projects and instruction in EDC-sponsored courses. Prior to coming to EDC, Mr. Sadowski was a Research Scientist with the Environmental Research Institute of Michigan for 10 years. Mr. Sadowski received his B.S. degree in Forestry from the University of Missouri in 1965 and his M.S. degree in Remote Sensing from the University of Michigan in 1974.